UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MICHAEL KINGSLEY,

VOLUME 2-A

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 10-CV-832-BBC

STAN HENDRICKSON and FRITZ DEGNER,

Madison, Wisconsin October 15, 2012

9:00 a.m.

Defendants.

STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT OF SECOND DAY OF JURY TRIAL HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE BARBARA B. CRABB

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Merchant & Gould, P.C.

BY: EDWARD J. PARDON WENDY M. WARD JOEL F. GRAHAM

10 East Doty Street, Suite 600 Madison, Wisconsin 53703-3376

Julie King, Paralegal

For the Defendants: Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek, S.C.

BY: ANDREW ALSTON JONES
TIMOTHY H. POSNANSKI
555 East Wells, Suite 1900
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202

CHERYL A. SEEMAN, RMR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
United States District Court
120 North Henry Street, Room 520
Madison, Wisconsin 53703
1-608-255-3821

				2-A-2
1	I-N-D-E-X			
2	OPENING STATEMENTS		PAGES	
3	By Ms. Ward By Mr. Jones			17-29 29-42
4	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES EXAMINATION		TION	PAGES
5	MICHAEL KINGSLEY Direct by Mr. Pardon Cross by Mr. Jones		Tonog	55_9 <i>6</i>
7	ROBERT CONROY	Redirect by Mr. Pardon Adverse by Mr. Pardon Direct by Mr. Jones		87-87 88-118
8	E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S			
9	<u>EXHIBITS</u>		IDENTIFIED	RECEIVED
10	Ex. 5 - Incident Report 113 Ex. 13 - Videos 101 Ex. 60 - Inmate General Request 116 Ex. 509A - Cell Photo 129 Ex. 510A - Jail Map 125			113 101
12			129	116 129 126
13			123	120
14	* * *			
15	(Called to order.)			
16	THE CLERK: Case No. 10-CV-832-BBC, Michael			
17	Kingsley v. Stan Hendrickson and Fritz Degner, is			
18	called for a conference. May we have the appearances,			
19	please?			
20	MR. PARDON: Good morning, Your Honor. For			
21	plaintiff Mr. Kingsley we have plaintiff Michael			
22	Kingsley. I'm Ed Pardon of Merchant & Gould. And my			
23	colleagues are Wendy Ward and Joel Graham.			
24	THE COURT: Thank you.			
25	MR. JONES: Good morning, Your Honor. Andrew			
	l			

Jones and Tim Posnanski on behalf of the defendants who are also present.

THE COURT: Thank you. And I was informed that you had one thing that you wanted to bring up?

MR. PARDON: Yes, I did, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Pardon.

2.0

MR. PARDON: It's just a brief thing. We would like to use a demonstrative exhibit with one of our witnesses, Mr. Landers. And it's a handcuff and we would like to have him demonstrate proper handcuffing technique and the issues that he has with this and the issues about how he claims -- how he's asserting that the handcuffs are improperly applied.

I've spoken with Mr. Jones about it and he indicates that he's thinking about it and we don't have a -- we're not sure yet if he agrees. But there's just a security issue. I was told that in order for him to be able to, Mr. Landers to take the handcuffs into the courthouse, he needs to have permission and it was understood by me that it had to be referred to him. Mr. Landers is coming this morning and --

THE COURT: And he has a handcuff?

MR. PARDON: Yes. He's a former police officer and he's presently an instructor at MATC and he has his own handcuffs that he would like to use as a

2.0

demonstrative. He has them with him. But he will be here this morning. And before you can make a decision about the demonstrative, he has to get into the courthouse sometime this morning, probably while the openings are going on.

THE COURT: Well, I'll start with the easier one. Mr. Jones, do you have any objection to my letting him come into the courthouse?

MR. JONES: Absolutely not.

THE COURT: Okay. And, Erica, would you pass that along to the CSOs? Thank you. Then the question, does he have the same handcuff that was being used on Mr. -- I mean, not the precise one, but the same kind of handcuff that was being used on plaintiff?

MR. PARDON: I don't know if he has same brand, but he has the same general type of handcuffs. If it's not the same brand, he can certainly say, this is not the same brand. But I would like to illustrate in general how you handcuff and in particular how you safety lock handcuffs.

THE COURT: Okay. When do you plan to call him?

MR. PARDON: He will be the third witness today,
so it's either going to be late in the morning or more
likely early afternoon.

THE COURT: Mr. Jones.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JONES: It was only raised within the five minutes, Your Honor. I don't foresee it will be an issue, but I would like the opportunity to show those handcuffs to the officers to make sure that they are comparable at least to what was being used that day before I decide. THE COURT: Okay. And if you have some more objections or anything else you want to discuss we can take it up maybe at the morning recess. MR. JONES: Sure. Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? MR. PARDON: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. When the jurors come in, I will start out by giving them introductory instructions and then openings statements. MR. JONES: I had one very ticky-tack thing that I noticed in the introductory instructions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mm-mm. MR. JONES: You refer to both of the defendants as employees of the Monroe County Jail. It's minor, but Officer Degner is not an employee of the jail. They're both employees of the Sheriff's Department. Officer Degner is a patrol officer. THE COURT: Sure. Okay. That was it? MR. JONES: That was it.

```
1
             MR. PARDON: Yes.
 2
             THE COURT: All right. Then you can bring in
 3
   the jurors.
 4
             THE CLERK: We're still missing one.
 5
             THE COURT: Oh, all right.
         (Discussion held off the record.)
 6
7
         (Called to order at 9:12 a.m.)
             THE CLERK: Case No. 10-CV-832-BBC, Michael
 8
 9
   Kingsley v. Stan Hendrickson and Fritz Degner, is called
10
   for jury trial. May we have the appearances, please?
             MR. PARDON: Good morning, Your Honor, ladies
11
12
   and gentlemen. On behalf of the plaintiff, Michael
13
   Kingsley, I'm Ed Pardon of Merchant & Gould. Also with
14
   me are my colleagues, Wendy Ward and Joel Graham of
   Merchant & Gould.
15
16
             THE COURT: Thank you.
17
            MR. JONES: Good morning, Your Honor, ladies and
18
   gentlemen of the jury. Andrew Jones and Tim Posnanski on
   behalf of the defendants, Stan Hendrickson and Fritz
19
20
   Degner, who are present at counsel's table.
21
             THE COURT: Thank you. Members of the jury, you
22
   were selected by Magistrate Judge Crocker. I'm going to
   be giving you some introductory instructions.
23
                                                   I think I
24
   remember seeing most of you in the earlier jury selection
25
   for the criminal case last week.
```

We are about to start the trial of this case.

Before it begins, I will give you some instructions to help you understand how the trial will proceed, how you should evaluate the evidence and how you should conduct yourselves during the trial.

The party who begins the lawsuit is called the plaintiff. In this action the plaintiff is Michael Kingsley. The parties against whom the suit is brought are called the defendants. In this action the defendants are Stanley Hendrickson and Fritz Degner. The defendants are employees of the Monroe County Sheriff's Department.

Plaintiff, Mr. Kingsley, is contending that the defendants violated his constitutional rights by using excessive force against him while he was an inmates at the jail.

The case will proceed as follows:

First, plaintiff's counsel will make an opening statement outlining plaintiff's case. Immediately after that, defendants' counsel will also make an opening statement outlining defendants' case. What is said in opening statements is not evidence; it is simply a guide to help you understand what each party expects the evidence will show.

Second, after the opening statements, the plaintiff will introduce evidence in support of his claim. At the

conclusion of the plaintiff's case, the defendants may introduce evidence. They're not required to introduce any evidence or to call any witnesses. If they do introduce evidence, the plaintiff may then introduce rebuttal evidence.

Third, after the evidence is presented, the parties will make closing arguments explaining what they believe the evidence has shown and what inferences or conclusions you should draw from the evidence. What they say to you in closing argument is not evidence. The plaintiff has the right to give the first closing argument and to make a short rebuttal argument after the defendants' closing argument because the plaintiff has the burden of proof.

Fourth, I will instruct you on the law that you are to apply in reaching your verdict.

Fifth, you will retire to the jury room and begin your deliberation.

You will hear the term burden of proof used during this trial. In simple terms, the phrase means that the party who makes a claim has the obligation of proving that claim. At the end of the trial I will instruct you on the proper burden of proof to be applied in this case.

The trial day will run from nine until 5:30 p.m. We will recess or adjourn this afternoon at 5:15. You will have at least an hour for lunch and two additional short

2.0

breaks, one in the morning and one in the afternoon.

During recesses you should keep in mind the following instructions:

First, do not discuss the case either among yourselves or with anyone else during the course of the trial. The parties to this lawsuit have a right to expect from you that you will keep an open mind throughout the trial. You should not reach a conclusion until you've heard all of the evidence and you've heard the lawyers' closing arguments and my instructions to you on the law and have retired to deliberate with the other members of the jury.

I must warn you in particular against commenting about the trial in an e-mail or a blog or on Twitter. There have been news accounts recently about cases that have had to be retried because a member of the jury communicated electronically about the case during the trial. You can imagine how upset your fellow jurors would be, to say nothing of the plaintiff and the defendants, all of whose inconvenience and stress would have gone for nothing because the case has to be started all over again with new jurors.

Second, do not permit any third person to discuss the case in your presence. If someone tries to talk to you despite your telling him not to, report that fact to

the Court, to the jury clerk, as soon as you can. Do not discuss it with your fellow jurors or discuss with them any other fact you believe you should bring to the attention of the Court.

Third, although it is a normal human tendency to converse with people with whom one is thrown in contact, please do not talk to any of the parties or their lawyers or witnesses. By this I mean not only do not talk about the facts of case; do not talk even to pass the time of day. In no other way can the jurors -- I'm sorry -- in no other way can the parties be assured of the absolute impartiality they are entitled to expect from you as jurors.

Fourth, do not read about the case in the newspapers or listen to radio or television broadcasts about the trial. If a newspaper headline catches your eye, do not examine the article further. Media accounts may be inaccurate and may contain matters that are not proper for your consideration. You must base your verdict solely on the evidence produced in court.

Fifth, no matter how interested you may become in the facts of the case, you must not do any independent research, investigation or experimentation. Do not look up materials on the Internet or in other sources. Do not perform any kind of experiment.

The important thing to remember is the plaintiff has the burden of proof. That means the plaintiff has the burden of bringing in all the evidence that's necessary to persuade you that he's entitled to a verdict in his favor. If the plaintiff leaves something out, if he doesn't produce the evidence, that means he loses. It's not up to any of you to supply the missing evidence that would help the plaintiff win or for that matter help the defendants win. Each party is responsible to make the amount of proof that he thinks is necessary to prove the case.

In deciding the facts you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, part of it or none of it. In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account many factors, including the witness's opportunity and ability to see and hear the things the witness is testifying about, the quality of the witness's memory, the witness's appearance and manner while testifying, the witness's interest in the outcome of the case, any bias or prejudice the witness may have, other evidence that may have contradicted the witness's testimony and the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence.

The weight of the evidence does not necessarily

depend upon the number of witnesses who testify. During the course of a trial the lawyers will often refer to and read from depositions. Depositions are transcripts of testimony taken while the parties are preparing for trial. Deposition testimony is given under oath just like testimony at trial. You should give it the same consideration you would give it had the witnesses testified here in the courtroom.

You will also hear the lawyers make objections to certain questions or to certain answers of the witnesses. When they do so it's because they believe the question or answer is legally improper and they want me to rule on it. Do not try to guess why the objection is being made or what the answer would have been had the witness been allowed to answer the question.

If I tell you not to consider a particular statement that has already been made, put that statement out of your mind and remember that you may not refer to it during your deliberations.

During the trial I may sometimes ask witnesses questions. Please do not assume that I have any opinion about the subject matter of my questions. If any of you wish to ask a question about something that you do not understand that you think has not been explained adequately, write it down on a separate slip of paper.

When the lawyers finish the questioning and you are not satisfied with the answers you've heard, raise your hand and I'll take the written question from you, show it to counsel and decide whether it's a question that can be asked. If it cannot be asked, I'll let you know that.

If you want to take notes, there are notepads and pencils for taking notes next to the jury bench. This does not mean that you have to take notes. Take them only if you want to and if you think they will help you remember the evidence during your deliberations. Do not let notetaking interfere with your important duties of listening carefully to all the evidence and evaluating the credibility, that is, the believability, of each witness.

Keep in mind that just because you've written something down, it does not mean that the written note is more accurate than another juror's mental recollection of the same thing. No one of you is the secretary for the jury charged with the responsibility of recording evidence. Each of you is responsible for recalling the evidence and the testimony.

You can see that the trial is being reported, but you should not expect to be able to use trial transcripts in your deliberations. You will have to rely on your own memories. Since there are eight of you, that should be a

good collective memory.

2.0

Evidence at a trial includes the sworn testimony of the witnesses, exhibits admitted into the record, facts judicially noticed and facts stipulated by counsel. You may consider only evidence that is admitted into the record.

In deciding the facts of this case, you are not to consider the following as evidence:

The statements and arguments of the lawyers;

Questions and objections of the lawyers;

Testimony that I instruct you to disregard; and

Anything you may see or hear when the court is not
in session, even if what you see or hear is said or done
by one of the parties or one of the witnesses.

Evidence may be either direct or circumstantial.

Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness about what the witness said or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which you could find another fact.

I always use this pretty dumb example: But if you saw a cat and you saw a mouse and you saw the cat eat the mouse, you would have direct evidence of what happened to the mouse. If you put a mouse and a cat in a box, go away for a few minutes and come back and the mouse is gone, if there are no exits from the box you have pretty

good circumstantial evidence of what happened to that mouse.

You should consider both kinds of evidence. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. You are to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

A witness may be discredited by contradictory evidence or by evidence that at some other time the witness said or did something or failed to say or do something inconsistent with the witness's present testimony; for example, if a witness were to testify here at trial that she was present when the accident occurred and that she saw the driver going through a red light, then perhaps a police officer gets up later in the trial and says, "Well, I investigated the accident scene and when I investigated I talked to that woman that was testifying earlier and at that time she told me the light was green when the driver went through it."

If you believe any witness has been discredited, it's up to you to decide how much of the testimony of that witness you believe. If a witness has shown to have given false testimony knowingly, that is, voluntarily and intentionally, about any important matter, you have a right to distrust the witness's testimony about other matters. You may reject all the testimony of that

2.0

witness or you may choose to believe some or all of it.

The general rule is that if you find a witness said something before trial different from what the witness said at trial, consider only the earlier statements as an aid in evaluating the truthfulness of the witness's testimony at trial. You cannot consider as evidence in this trial what was said earlier before the trial began.

There's a different rule, however, for people that are the actual parties in the case. If they make statements before the trial began that are different from the statements they make at trial, you may consider as evidence in the case either statement, whichever one you find is more believable.

You are to consider only the evidence in this case. But in your consideration of the evidence, you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You are permitted to draw from facts you find have been proved such reasonable conclusions as seem justified in the light of your own experience and common sense.

A person's training and experience may make him or her a true expert in a technical field. The law allows that person to state an opinion here about matters in that particular field. It's up to you to decide whether you believe the expert's testimony and choose to rely

upon it. Part of that decision will depend on your judgment about whether the expert's background of training and experience is sufficient for him to give the expert opinion you heard and also whether the expert's opinions are based on sound reasons, judgment and information.

During the trial an expert may be asked a question based on assumptions that certain facts are true; if it was raining when the accident occurred and that skid marks were 40 feet on the highway, et cetera, et cetera, what do you think happened, that kind of thing. Such an opinion is of use to you only if the opinion is based on assumed facts that are later proven. If you find the assumptions stated in the question have not been proven, then you should not give any weight to the answer the expert gave to the question.

All right. That's it for the introductory instructions. And now the plaintiff's lawyer, Mr. Pardon, will give the opening statement.

MR. PARDON: Actually, Ms. Ward is going to give the opening statement.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Ward.

MS. WARD: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. As you heard, I'm Wendy Ward. And my team is Joel Graham and Ed Pardon.

2.0

We also have here in the courtroom with us Julie King and you will see her in the courtroom from time to time as well.

Now, what is this case about? First, it is about a simple piece of paper similar to this one covering a light fixture. Second, it is about prison officials' violent and unnecessary infliction of pain to punish a prisoner for not complying with their orders to remove the paper from the light fixture. And third, and most importantly, it's about this man's constitutional right to be free from excessive force.

As you know, this is our client, Michael Kingsley. And the evidence will show that the defendants, Stan Hendrickson and Fritz Degner, went too far in their reaction to the piece of paper. Their reaction was violent, it inflicted pain, it was unnecessary and it violated Mr. Kingsley's rights.

Now, in particular, the defendants tased

Mr. Kingsley and slammed his upper body into a concrete

bunk while he was handcuffed in an incident that took

place in the Monroe County Jail on May 21st of 2010.

Now, in this opening statement I am going to preview for

you what the evidence will show in this case and then I'm

going to show you what happened. We have a videotape of

the incident and you will see the violation of

Mr. Kingsley's rights with your own eyes. First though let me provide some context.

Now, Mr. Kingsley is currently incarcerated in the Wisconsin prison system. But at the time of the incident, as I said, he was an inmate at Monroe County Jail. He was awaiting his trial. Now, the events leading up to the incident actually began the night before on May 20th. And on that evening, Deputy Nick Manka, who was a jail employee, was doing a routine cell check. And when he did so, he noticed that there was paper over the light in Mr. Kingsley's cell.

Now, inmates sometimes put paper over their lights to cut down on the brightness. However, it's a violation of prison rules to have paper on the light, so Deputy Manka ordered Mr. Kingsley to remove the paper.

Mr. Kingsley didn't comply, right or wrong, for two reasons. First, he didn't put the piece of paper there and it was there when he first arrived in the cell. And the second reason that Mr. Kingsley had for not removing the paper -- Mr. Kingsley, could you please stand up for a moment, please?

MR. KINGSLEY: Yes, ma'am.

MS. WARD: -- Mr. Kingsley is not a tall man and it would have been difficult, at best. You can sit down. Thank you. It would have been difficult for him to

actually remove the piece of paper, you know. Just physically, he would have had to climb onto his bunk and then kind of jump at the piece of paper and try to grab onto it in order to comply with the order to take it down.

So Mr. Kingsley sort of sarcastically said to Deputy Manka, "Taking that paper down sounds like a job for the CERT team." The CERT team is sort of like a SWAT team. It's kind of an emergency tactical response team. So what did Deputy Manka do at that point? He continued on with the cell check.

Later that night Deputy Manka came back to

Mr. Kingsley's cell and the paper was still on the light.

What Deputy Manka did then was to issue Mr. Kingsley

what's known as a minor violation for failure to comply

with orders. Then later that evening Deputy Manka

notified his supervisor, who was Sergeant Hendrickson.

However, the officers waited until the next day to deal

with the paper on the light and to deal with

Mr. Kingsley. Now, that tells you that the offense was

not serious and the situation was not urgent.

So, now, coming to the next morning, Sergeant

Hendrickson and other officers tried again to convince

Mr. Kingsley to remove the paper. At this point,

however, Mr. Kingsley was not responding at all to the

2.0

officers. But he also was not threatening violence and he wasn't becoming violent in any way.

So in any event, the officers decided to move

Mr. Kingsley from his cell to another cell in the jail

called the receiving cell. Now, they did this both as

punishment for his failure to comply with their orders

and so that they could deal with the paper on the light.

Now, in Monroe County, road officers are sometimes called to the jail to provide backup when additional assistance is needed and that's what happened here.

Sergeant Hendrickson told Deputy Blanton, another deputy, to call for backup officers. And eventually officers did arrive in response to that call for backup. One of those officers was the other defendant, Fritz Degner.

So at this point we have five officers available, including both defendants and Deputy Blanton and Deputy Shisler, as well as the jail administrator, Lieutenant Conroy. All five of them went to Mr. Kingsley's cell.

When they told Mr. Kingsley they were moving him to a receiving cell, he put his hands behind his back to allow the officers to handcuff him. The officers entered Mr. Kingsley's cell. Mr. Kingsley will tell you that he did not struggle, he did not resist and he simply let himself be handcuffed by Sergeant Hendrickson.

Now, the officers admit that at the time

Mr. Kingsley was handcuffed, he didn't threaten violence or become violent in any way, in fact just the opposite. At that point Mr. Kingsley said, "Guys, I'm not being violent here." So I want to go back to the handcuffing procedure for just a minute.

Mr. Kingsley will tell you that the handcuffs were painfully tight when they were put on him. And it is not disputed that when Sergeant Hendrickson handcuffed Mr. Kingsley, he failed to do what is known as double locking or safety locking the cuffs.

Now, it's undisputed also that officers are trained to safety lock handcuffs to prevent further tightening as soon as it's practical to do so. Sergeant Hendrickson's failure to safety lock that day likely permitted the handcuffs to get even tighter during the incident, adding to the pain that Mr. Kingsley had in his wrists.

So after he was handcuffed, the officers pulled Mr. Kingsley up from the bunk and his foot smacked down to something. And this hurt Mr. Kingsley such that he couldn't comply when the officers ordered him to walk. He told the officers that his foot hurt. And in response, Sergeant Hendrickson and Deputy Blanton picked him up under the arms and dragged him out of his cell and three other officers that were present followed behind.

Now, an important footnote here: Mr. Kingsley is

not asking you to find that the overtight handcuffs or the jury to his foot were due to acts of excessive force by the officers. But these injuries are important because the pain he was experiencing from those injuries made it difficult for him to comply with the officers' orders to walk. It also caused pain in his body -- or I'm sorry -- caused tension in his arms and body.

Now, the officers will characterize Mr. Kingsley's behavior as resistance. But the evidence will show that in fact he was in pain, so his failure to comply was involuntary on his part.

So after he was dragged to the hallway, he was picked up and carried by four officers, one officer for each of his arms and each his legs. And they took him to the receiving cell and again placed his facedown on the concrete bunk. Now, it's undisputed that while they were carrying him, Mr. Kingsley was not threatening violence or becoming violent in any way.

Once he was in the receiving cell, Deputy Blanton tried to get the handcuffs off. But because of the tension in Mr. Kingsley's arms, he couldn't do it. The evidence will show though that Mr. Kingsley was not resisting at this point. In fact the video will show he wanted the handcuffs off. In fact -- now, I don't like to curse in court -- but he told the officers at the time

he wanted those mother-f'ers off. So when deputy Degner then came into the room, Mr. Kingsley also told Deputy Degner to stay out of it and get the 'f' out.

Now, when Mr. Kingsley was talking to Deputy Degner, he sort of lifted up and looked to the side where Deputy Degner was standing. And after he spoke to Deputy Degner, Sergeant Hendrickson then roughly shoved him back down onto the concrete bunk and placed his lower leg across Mr. Kingsley's upper back and neck.

Now, the officers and Mr. Kingsley dispute whether the way that Sergeant Hendrickson secured Mr. Kingsley's head and upper body constituted excessive force. You will have to decide that. But Mr. Kingsley will testify that hurt.

At this point Sergeant Hendrickson was telling Mr. Kingsley to stop resisting and Mr. Kingsley said he wasn't resisting. Now, even though he wasn't resisting or even really moving, the officers continued to yell at him to relax.

Now, I want to stop for a minute and paint you a picture of what the situation in the receiving cell was at this point. Mr. Kingsley is handcuffed behind his back and facedown on a concrete bunk. He is in pain. There are four officers in the room with him and a fifth officer, Lieutenant Conroy, standing just outside

the cell.

Sergeant Hendrickson has his knee on Mr. Kingsley's upper back and neck area. Sergeant Shisler is holding his feet down. Deputy Blanton is at his mid section. Deputy Degner is in the room with his taser unholstered and turned on and ready to go. Mr. Kingsley is by far the smallest guy in the room. Common sense will tell you that the officers were in control and Mr. Kingsley was not a threat.

Now, that was exactly the scenario when Sergeant
Hendrickson told Deputy Degner to tase Mr. Kingsley.

Deputy Degner delivered what's known as a drive stun with
a taser to Mr. Kingsley's right shoulder for five
seconds. Five seconds might not seem like a long time.

But when someone is being shocked with a taser for
(five-second pause) that long, it seems like a very long
time to them.

Now, when Lieutenant Conroy realized that Mr. -remember, Lieutenant Conroy was outside the cell. And
when he realized that Mr. Kingsley had been tased, he
called the officers off. And then what he said was,
"It's not a punishment." At this point Deputy Blanton
finally safety-locked handcuffs and the officers exited
the cell.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we contend that

Sergeant Hendrickson and Deputy Degner used force that was in excess to what was needed to control the situation. Mr. Kingsley was already controlled. There was absolutely no reason to slam him on the bunk or to tase him.

Now, Mr. Kingsley will testify in this case and he will tell you about his experience that day. He will tell you what it felt like when his upper body was slammed onto the bunk. And he will testify that the pain of being tased is one of the worst pains he's ever felt. In fact he felt the shock all the way to the soles of his feet.

Now, this is not your typical case where the plaintiff says one thing and the defendant says something else and a huge part of what you have to do is decide who's the most believable, because as I told you earlier, we have a video. Everything that happened in the receiving cell was caught on videotape and we will show you that videotape in just a minute.

Now, even the defendants' expert will tell you that the videotape is inclusive and conclusive. So, in other words, the video is the most important evidence in this case. And with that, Joel, please start the video.

(Video played at 9:42 a.m. until 9:45 a.m.)

MS. WARD: When the defendants put on their

case, you will hear them try very hard to come up with some after-the-fact justification for doing what you just saw in that tape. In fact the defendants and their expert can't even agree as to what the justification was.

The defendants will say that when they couldn't get their handcuffs off, Mr. Kingsley needed to be tased for his own safety, that he could have fallen off the bunk or hurt himself had they just left him with handcuffs. But the evidence will show that leaving Mr. Kingsley in the cell with handcuffs actually was a viable option all along because they proved that ultimately when they did just that after Deputy Degner tased him.

The defendant's expert in this case is Dr. John G. Peters. He has not been a sworn law enforcement officer for over 30 years. He's a professional expert witness from Nevada. And what he does is he travels around the country testifying at cases such as this one.

Dr. Peters comes up with a different reason for why it was reasonable to tase Mr. Kingsley under the circumstances. And what he says is that there was a possibility that the officers could have been hurt by what Mr. Kingsley was doing in the receiving cell. And as an example, he says that the officers could have pinched a finger in the handcuff chain.

Now, our expert witness is Mr. Brian Landers.

Mr. Landers is currently the chair of the Criminal Justice Program at Madison College, which is formerly MATC. He is also the mayor of Wisconsin Dells. He served as a police officer in the Dells for 18 years until 2010. Mr. Landers will tell you that the officers acted unreasonably in light of the circumstances.

Mr. Landers' opinion is based on professional standards for use of force in Wisconsin, including the use of tasers. And in fact as part of the committee that develops those standards, Mr. Landers participated in creating them.

Now, Mr. Landers will testify that any resistance exhibited by Mr. Kingsley was passive resistance, at the very most, and that the use of the taser when a subject is not actively resisting is not appropriate and it's not what officers in Wisconsin are trained to do.

Ladies and gentlemen, the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution guarantees to everyone in this country the right to due process, including the right not to be punished prior to a determination of guilt. When a jail officer uses excessive force on a person who has not yet been convicted, that is a violation of that person's constitutional rights.

Now, Judge Crabb will instruct you, after all of the evidence has been presented, regarding the constitutional

standard. She will also instruct you that the burden in this case is a preponderance of the evidence, which is more likely than not.

After you hear all the evidence in this case, we will ask you to find by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendants used excessive force against

Mr. Kingsley in violation of his constitutional rights on May 21st, 2010.

Ladies and gentlemen, what happened to Mr. Kingsley that day was unnecessary, extreme and very painful to Mr. Kingsley, and it all started with a piece of paper. At the end of the trial you will be handed a piece paper and it's called a jury -- or I'm sorry -- it's called a verdict form. I am confident that you will mark the box that confirms that officers must not abuse someone in handcuffs for no good reason. They must be sent a message that they cannot violate people's constitutional rights.

When you return your verdict, I am confident that you will tell these officers that a piece of paper, our Constitution, protects men like Mr. Kingsley from actions like theirs. Thank you, very much, for your time and attention and for your service on the jury.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Ward. Mr. Jones.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Judge. Good morning.

May it please the Court, counsel, and ladies and gentlemen of the jury. We were introduced briefly last week and again this morning. My name is Andrew Jones.

My colleague, Tim Posnanski, and I have the privilege of representing Stan Hendrickson and Fritz Degner in this lawsuit.

As you have now heard, this case is centered on a single use of a taser by Deputy Degner on Mr. Kingsley while he was an inmate in the Monroe County Jail.

Mr. Kingsley alleges that Sergeant Hendrickson and Deputy Degner violated his constitutional rights, that they used excessive force when he was tased.

But the evidence you will see and hear in this trial will demonstrate that the officers did not use excessive force against Mr. Kingsley, not in their use of the taser and not in their other efforts to maintain control over Mr. Kingsley on the morning in question. Instead, the evidence will show that the officers used only as much force as was reasonably necessary and to respond to Mr. Kingsley's repeated physical and verbal resistance to their lawful orders.

Before I continue, I would like to introduce the two defendants to you. Stan Hendrickson has served with the Monroe County Sheriff's Department for the last 20 years. On May 21st, 2010, the day that we're going to talk

about, he was a supervisor, a sergeant in the jail.

Today he is a lieutenant and the jail administrator. In that role he essentially runs the jail on a day-to-day basis.

Now, I didn't mention this to him, but I'm going to sort of demote him for the purposes of the trial and refer to him by the rank that he held back on the day in question as sergeant, so we'll be referring to him as Sergeant Hendrickson.

Fritz Degner served with the Sheriff's Department since 2002. He is a deputy sheriff. He does not work regularly in the jail. He is assigned to patrol duties out in the community. He has been a law enforcement officer for over 20 years.

Now, you've already seen and heard some of the evidence in this case, part of the video. I would like to talk to you about what all of the evidence will show about the events of May 21st, 2010.

As you know, on that date Mr. Kingsley was an inmate in the Monroe County Jail. He had been in the jail since April. He was housed in one of the regular cell blocks in the jail. The evening before, the evening of May 20th, 2010, a correctional officer in the jail, Nicholas Manka, noticed that there was a paper, piece of paper, on the overhead light in Mr. Kingsley's cell. This was

against jail rules, as it reduces visibility into the jail cells for the officers and it creates a potential fire hazard. Mr. Kingsley knew this was against jail rules.

When officer Manka noticed the paper on the light, he asked Mr. Kingsley to take it down. Mr. Kingsley refused to remove the paper from the light and instead told Officer Manka that he should get the CERT team to respond, the CERT team being sort of a jail SWAT team, to take the paper down.

When Mr. Kingsley refused, Officer Manka told him that he would have to issue him a disciplinary notice because of his conduct. Officer Manka then told Sergeant Hendrickson what had occurred with Mr. Kingsley when Hendrickson came on duty for the next shift, the overnight shift.

Early the next morning, so early the morning of May 21st, a different corrections officer, Karl Blanton, went to speak to Mr. Kingsley about the paper over the light. He too had no success with Mr. Kingsley, as Kingsley refused his several orders that he remove the paper from the light.

Next, Sergeant Hendrickson went to speak to

Mr. Kingsley about the situation. He too directed

Mr. Kingsley to take the paper off of the light and

Kingsley refused his instructions as well. Mr. Kingsley told Sergeant Hendrickson that he had not put the paper up over the light, so he was not going to take it down.

Finally, Lieutenant Robert Conroy went to

Mr. Kingsley's cell to ask him about the paper over the

light. Lieutenant Conroy, he was the jail administrator

at that time. He was responsible for the entire jail,

just as Sergeant Hendrickson is now. He now oversees the

patrol operations in the department. Lieutenant Conroy

asked Mr. Kingsley to remove the paper. Mr. Kingsley

again refused.

Conroy told him that if he refused his orders, he would have to impose discipline for his failure to comply. Mr. Kingsley still refused to take the paper down. Conroy then said that he would take it down himself, that he would solve the problem, but he would have to remove Mr. Kingsley from the cell in order to accomplish that. Mr. Kingsley still refused. He told Lieutenant Conroy that he would not move from the cell because in his mind, he had done nothing wrong.

At this point Mr. Kingsley had refused direct orders from four separate officers to remove the paper from the light. To be clear, none of the officers, none of them, will tell you that they thought the paper on the light was an emergency, but the paper still needed to come down

from the light. And if Mr. Kingsley was going to refuse to take it down, a member of the jail staff was going to have to do it.

And in the jail setting, the officers could not overlook Mr. Kingsley's refusal to follow a simple direct order. They will explain that to maintain order in the jail, they have to issue discipline, like putting prisoners in segregation when they refuse to follow lawful directives.

So under the circumstances, jail staff decided that they needed to move Mr. Kingsley from his regular cell into a separate cell known as a receiving cell. They will tell you that was necessary so they could take the paper off of the light and because that is where Mr. Kingsley would be segregated from the other inmates as discipline for his failure to follow their orders.

Now, as you will learn, the receiving cells are located just a short distance away from the cell block where Mr. Kingsley was housed. To get there from the cell he was in, you simply walk down the hallway of the cell block, you walk out into what is known as the main hallway of the jail, and almost immediately you go into the area where the receiving cells are located. The receiving cells are smaller cells. They are segregation cells. They contain only a concrete bunk, a sink and a

toilet.

Five members of the Sheriff's Department staff assisted in moving Mr. Kingsley: Lieutenant Conroy Sergeant Hendrickson, Deputy Degner, Officer Blanton and Jim Shisler. Officer Shisler is a sergeant in the Sheriff's Department. He works on the patrol side like Deputy Degner. Five officers helped to move Mr. Kingsley, rather than just one or two, in an effort to deter Mr. Kingsley from resisting the officers just by their presence and so that there would be enough officers present if Mr. Kingsley did decide to resist.

When the officers got to Mr. Kingsley's cell just before 6:40 that morning, he was lying in his bunk. He was told to stand up, turn with his back to the cell bars and put his hands behind his back so that he could be handcuffed. Mr. Kingsley refused to do this multiple times.

Finally, when he was told just to stay on his bunk and put his hands behind his back, he did. Officer

Hendrickson and Blanton then entered the cell and tried to put handcuffs on Mr. Kingsley. The evidence will show though that Mr. Kingsley tensed his arms and pulled his arms apart making it difficult for Sergeant Hendrickson to handcuff him. Eventually though Mr. Hendrickson got the cuffs on.

2.0

Then when they directed Mr. Kingsley to stand up and walk out of the cell, Mr. Kingsley refused to do that.

He claimed he couldn't. He said his foot hurt and that he couldn't stand or walk. The officers asked him,

"Which foot hurts? What's wrong with your foot?"

Mr. Kingsley would not answer those questions and he did not explain why he couldn't walk under his own power.

As a result, Officers Hendrickson and Blanton carried Mr. Kingsley, one officer under each of his arms, from the cell out into the hallway, down the cell block out into the main hallway. Once they had him in the hallway, the officers laid Mr. Kingsley on the floor.

They asked him again what was wrong with his foot.

They asked him several times what was wrong with his foot so he could not walk. And again Mr. Kingsley did not answer any of their questions. He didn't respond. He didn't tell them what was wrong with his foot. He didn't even tell them which foot hurt.

As a result, the officers picked Mr. Kingsley up again, this time with two officers under his upper body supporting his arms and two officers supporting his legs, and they carefully carried him into one of the receiving cells. This was just before 6:45 in the morning.

Four officers went into the cell with Mr. Kingsley: Sergeant Hendrickson, Sergeant Shisler, Officer Blanton

and Deputy Degner. Lieutenant Conroy remained outside of the cell, sort of in the doorway of the receiving cell, due to the confined space in the cell. The officers laid Mr. Kingsley on the concrete bunk in the cell. At that point the officers' goal was simple. All they wanted to do was remove the handcuffs and exit the cell without incident.

They needed to remove the handcuffs for Mr. Kingsley's safety. As they will explain to you, it is not safe to leave an individual in a cell, particularly a cell like this receiving cell, with handcuffs on. It's uncomfortable for the prisoner. But more importantly, it is far too easy for the individual to fall and become injured without the use of his hands or arms. Again, the receiving cell was a small, bare cell, concrete bunk, concrete floor.

The officers told Mr. Kingsley what they were trying to do, take the handcuffs off, and they told him to relax so that they could accomplish that goal. Mr. Kingsley responded by flexing his arms, by pulling his hands apart, by tensing the muscles in his torso, by moving his torso, by shifting his head and shoulders, and even at one point raising up off the bunk, all the while grunting and growling.

The evidence will show that Mr. Kingsley was

resisting the officers' efforts to remove the handcuffs. The officers directed him to stopped resisting, but he didn't. He cursed at them, telling them first to take the cuffs off, and then telling them to get out and leave the handcuffs on.

As the officers will explain to you, they each felt that his behavior in the receiving cell presented a risk both to him and to them. They were concerned that either he or they could get hurt in such a confined space if he continued to do what he was doing. They were all concerned as well that Mr. Kingsley's behavior could quickly escalate to the point where he actually began kicking or actively fighting with them. And again, the officers did not want to leave Mr. Kingsley in the receiving cell with his handcuffs on.

Because of Mr. Kingsley's behavior and because he was concerned that his behavior presented a threat both to him and to them, Sergeant Hendrickson ordered that Deputy Degner use the taser on Mr. Kingsley. Deputy Degner did that. He tased Mr. Kingsley once, what is known as a contact stun, on the back of Mr. Kingsley's right shoulder. As you will learn, as you will hear, a contact stun is when a taser is applied directly to an individual's body. It lasts for five seconds or less. It causes pain, which stops, without lasting effect, when

the five seconds is over.

The taser did not succeed in getting Mr. Kingsley to stop doing what he was doing. The evidence will show that he continued to resist. At this point, with the officers having tried unsuccessfully first having to reason with Mr. Kingsley, then to physically restrain him and then to tase him in an effort to gain his compliance, Lieutenant Conroy, again from the doorway, directed the officers to stop trying to remove the handcuffs. He did not want to leave Mr. Kingsley in the cell with handcuffs on, again for his own safety.

But at that point if Mr. Kingsley was going to continue resisting the officers, the only options at that point, the only other options, were to tase Mr. Kingsley again or to further escalate the level of force, and the officers chose instead to leave Mr. Kingsley in the cell with the handcuffs on. Lieutenant Conroy directed the officers to exit the cell without removing the cuffs. No additional force was used against Mr. Kingsley.

When he made the decision to have the officers leave or exit the cell, Lieutenant Conroy intended to monitor Mr. Kingsley closely and to return to remove the cuffs after the situation had gone down and that is exactly what happened.

The officers left the receiving cell at about 6:47

2.0

in the morning. Lieutenant Conroy watched Mr. Kingsley via closed circuit camera into the receiving cell. And he and several other officers returned at about seven o'clock, just before seven o'clock, so 12 minutes later, and removed the handcuffs without further incident.

After he was tased, the officers put Mr. Kingsley on a special watch until that afternoon where he was frequently checked. They did not observe any injury to Mr. Kingsley. Officer Blanton called the jail nurse, told her about the situation, and she saw Mr. Kingsley that morning to be sure he didn't need medical attention. He refused to see her when she arrived. You will not be presented with any medical evidence that Mr. Kingsley suffered any injuries as a result of being tased.

Now, as you have already seen and heard the video recording, in addition to the officers' testimony we have the benefit of video and audio of what happened that morning. These recordings were made and preserved by the Sheriff's Department. You will have the opportunity to watch and listen to all of these recordings and they obviously will assist you in understanding what happened that morning.

But because of where the recording devices are located, because of the camera angles, you will still need to listen to the officers' testimony to fully

understand what happened and why they felt it was necessary to use the taser.

2.0

When all of the evidence has been presented in this case, Judge Crabb will ask you to answer a single liability question with respect to the two defendants. She will ask you to decide whether Sergeant Hendrickson and Deputy Degner used excessive force against Mr. Kingsley. I would like to offer you three points in conclusion before you begin to hear and see the evidence that will help you answer that single question.

First, over the course of this trial we are going to spend hours and even days talking in very great detail about a series of events that occurred almost entirely over the course of less than 20 minutes. The amount of time the officers were in the receiving cell with Mr. Kingsley amounted to less than four minutes.

As we examine these events and in great detail, I ask you to remember that they were occurring quickly in real-time. The officers involved did not have the benefit of spending the same amount of time that we will hear in dissecting what was occurring.

Second, Judge Crabb will instruct you on what you need to know about the law that applies to this case.

What I ask you to remember though is that the question you are charged with answering after all of the evidence

2.0

has been presented is not whether the officers made perfect decisions or even whether the officers made the same decisions that officers might make with the benefit of 20/20 hindsight.

To answer the question posed by the judge at the end of the case you will need only to decide whether the force the officers used was excessive. And to do that, you will need to put yourself in their position as law enforcement officers at the time these events -- at time of these events and as they were occurring.

Third, and finally, I ask you to watch and listen to the evidence in this case very closely. Listen to what the witnesses, and particularly the officers who were there that morning, have to say. Obviously watch the video and listen to the audio recordings because the evidence will describe exactly what did or did not happen on the morning in question.

In the end the evidence will show, we believe, that Sergeant Hendrickson and Deputy Degner did not, again, did not use excessive force against Mr. Kingsley. On behalf of Officers Hendrickson and Degner, we appreciate your time, your attention and your consideration of this case. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank, Mr. Jones. Mr. Pardon, you may call your first witness. Do you want the lectern

1 moved away or do you want to stand there for questioning? 2 MR. PARDON: I will stand at the lectern. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. PARDON: Your Honor, we call plaintiff 5 Michael Kingsley. 6 THE COURT: Please come forward to be sworn. 7 MICHAEL KINGSLEY, PLAINTIFF, SWORN THE CLERK: Please have a seat and be sure to 8 9 speak right into the microphone. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARDON: 11 12 Good morning. Ο. 13 Α. Good morning. 14 Could you please state your name? 15 My name is Michael Brandon Kingsley. 16 And, Mr. Kingsley, could you tell us briefly a 17 little bit about yourself? 18 I'm 31 years old. I was born and raised here Α. Yes. 19 in Madison, Wisconsin. I'm not married. I have no 2.0 children. I attended and graduated from Madison East 21 High School. I've held several jobs. More recently I worked as an auto detailer and a car salesman. 22 23 eventually got into trouble with the law and I got --24 currently I'm serving a three-year sentence at this time

for a drug crime. And I don't know, I'm currently

incarcerated at this time.

1

- Q. Okay. When are you scheduled to be released?
- 3 A. I currently have a little less than two years left 4 to do.
- Q. Okay. What are you planning on doing when you get out?
- 7 A. Basically just take it one day at a time. And first 8 I want to try to help my mom out as much as I can. She 9 just recently got diagnosed with --
- 10 MR. JONES: Objection. Relevance.
- 11 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 12 BY MR. PARDON:
- 13 Q. All right. Have you completed drug rehab?
- 14 A. I'm currently in two treatment programs at the
 15 Wisconsin Resource Center. I'm currently on a waiting
- 16 list to attend SGIP, which is errors in thinking. And
- 17 I'm, yeah, I'm just on the waiting list for right now.
- 18 Q. Okay. While you were awaiting trial for the offense
- 19 for which you are now incarcerated, where did you reside?
- 20 A. I was in Monroe County Jail.
- 21 | Q. Okay. I would like to direct your attention to the
- 22 | incident that this lawsuit is about. Did something
- 23 | happen at about ten o'clock on the evening of May 20 that
- 24 started sort of the events we're talking about today?
- 25 | A. Yes.

Q. Can you describe what happened?

there. He soon left.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A. It was about ten or 10:30 at night. Deputy Manka
was performing lockdown of the jail. And he walked past
my room that I was in and he noticed there was a paper on
a light and he told me to take it off. And I told him I
wasn't going to take it off because I didn't put it

After he came back during his next round, I believe he told me I needed to take the paper off again. I explained to him I didn't put it there again. And he said that he was going to issue me a violation report. And at that time I made a sarcastic remark saying, sounded like a job for the CERT team to come take the paper off the light. And he didn't say anything and he just left after that. Nothing ever happened after that.

- Q. What is your understanding of what the CERT team --
- A. It's something like the SWAT team or something, come and have, like, shields, batons, helmets on I guess.
- 19 Q. Did you get along with Deputy Manka?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- $21 \parallel Q$. Did you put the paper over the light?
- A. No. The paper was there ever since I was in that cell. I was in there for over a month or a month at that time. It was there the whole entire time.
- 25 | Q. Based on your experience being in the Monroe County

- Jail, do you know whether inmates sometimes put paper
 over the light?
- 3 \parallel A. From my conversations with other jail staff members,
- 4 they said it happens all the time. And like I say,
- 5 sometimes I think it gets overlooked.
- $6 \parallel Q$. During your conversation -- your two conversations
- 7 | with Deputy Manka that night or that evening, did you
- 8 make any threats to him?
- $9 \parallel A$. Not at all, no.
- 10 | Q. And did you tell Deputy Manka that if someone came
- 11 | in to take off the paper, you would resist them?
- $12 \parallel A$. No, I did not.
- 13 Q. Did the paper -- what happened with the paper that
- 14 | night?
- $15 \parallel A$. The paper -- paper just stayed on the light like it
- 16 | had been the whole time.
- 17 || Q. What happened the next morning?
- 18 A. The next morning Sergeant Hendrickson came and asked
- 19 me if I wanted my medication and I told him I didn't want
- 20 | to take my medication. And he asked me, did I take the
- 21 paper off the light. I told him again that the paper has
- 22 been there for I don't know how long. And he said -- he
- 23 repeated again and I think he said, "It's a direct order.
- 24 | I'm telling you to take the paper off the light."
- 25 And I didn't respond to him after that. I didn't

- want to try to get into an argument with him. So after that, he just left after. I didn't respond to him after he kept on telling me to take the paper off.
- Q. Okay. Did you make any threats to Sergeant
 Hendrickson during this conversation or either of them?
- $6 \parallel A$. Not at all, no.

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. Did you tell Sergeant Hendrickson, if someone came in to take off the paper that you would resist them?
- 9 A. No, I didn't.
- 10 Q. What happened after that?

have to go to receiving.

- A. After that Lieutenant Conroy came in and he asked me to take the paper off the light. And I told him, you know, the paper had been there for a long time. I don't even know how long it was there. I know it was there the whole time I was in the cell. And I basically told him he was probably in a better position to take the paper off the light because the paper -- or the light is, like, in the middle of the cell. And he told me that he would take it off, but since he was taking it off that I would
- Q. What's your understanding or what, as of the time, was your understanding of receiving?
- A. Receiving is like the *hole* or segregation. You know, it's basically they call it the *hole* because there's a hole in the door. It's basically for

- 1 | punishment.
- $2 \parallel Q$. Okay. Did you make any threats toward Lieutenant
- 3 | Conroy when you spoke with him?
- $4 \parallel A$. No, I did not.
- 5 Q. Did you tell Lieutenant Conroy, if anyone came in to
- 6 | take down the paper you would resist him?
- 7 A. No. I didn't say anything to him.
- 8 Q. And would it have been easy for you to take the
- 9 paper down?
- $10 \parallel A$. No. Like I said, the light is in the middle of the
- 11 cell. And the bed is off attached to the wall, so I
- 12 would have to, like, get on the bed and then try to jump
- 13 off and try to simultaneously grab the paper off the
- 14 | light. I figure I'm not going to do that. I'm not
- 15 | saying it's impossible, I could do it, but I just didn't
- 16 | feel comfortable doing it.
- 17 | Q. What happened after Lieutenant Conroy left after
- 18 | your conversation with him?
- 19 A. After he left he came back with four other officers:
- 20 | Officer Blanton, Degner, Sergeant Hendrickson and Deputy
- 21 | Shisler, I believe --
- 22 0. Okay.
- 23 A. -- I think his name is.
- $24 \parallel Q$. And what happened in your interaction with these
- 25 officers then?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.0

24

A. Sergeant Hendrickson came up to the cell door and he told me to get up off the bed and put my hands behind my back. And at that time I looked up and I see Deputy Degner. He had, like, a taser. And the taser has, like, a light or a beam on it. And I was, like, woe, you know. I didn't -- I was, like, I thought just lay there, don't make any sudden moves because I believe they would have probably tried to justify me getting up or anything like that and they would have tased me.

I figured I didn't do anything wrong. Why do I have to go to the hole? They told me for disobeying orders.

And I heard Lieutenant Conroy, I believe he said, "Just put your hands behind your back," so at that time I put my hands behind my back. And I think they made a signal or something and they opened up the door.

- Q. Okay. When Lieutenant Conroy told you to put your hands behind your back, where were you physically?
- 18 A. I was laying on the bed facedown.
- 19 Q. Okay. And then so at that point then what happened?
 - A. They opened up the cell door and Sergeant

Hendrickson and Deputy Blanton and Deputy Degner, they
entered the cell. And Sergeant Hendrickson, he put his
knee into my back and put the handcuffs on extremely

3 knee into my back and put the handcuffs on extremely

tight. And Deputy Blanton, I believe he was holding down

25 or pinning down my legs.

And they told me to get up. And I don't know, it was kind of hard. I was lying facedown. I had my handcuffs on behind by back. And I told them I couldn't get up, it was kind of hard. And they seemed like they was kind of irritated about it.

And they, in a pulling and dragging motion, they dragged me off the bed. And when they were doing so, my feet slapped -- the bed has got a frame on it and my feet slapped the bed frame. And it caused instant pain in my feet and more in my right foot than my left foot.

And I went down to my knees complaining of my foot. And they told me to get up. And I told them I couldn't get up. And I just kept complaining about my foot. And they said, "Get up or we're going to drag you." And I said I couldn't, my foot. I kept complaining about my foot; I remember that. And at that time they just -- they drug me out of the cell block.

- Q. Okay. I'm going to stop you right there and I want to follow up on some other things you said.
- 20 | A. Okay.

- Q. When the cuffs were placed on you, did you resist Sergeant Hendrickson putting the cuffs on?
- 23 A. No, I did not.
- Q. And did you make any threats to any of the deputies while they were putting the cuffs on?

A. No.

1

- 2 Q. And then after they sort of picked you up and
- 3 started to drag you, did you make any threats to anyone
- 4 while they were doing that?
 - A. Absolutely not.
- $6 \parallel Q$. Well, then what happened after that?
- 7 A. They took me to the -- through the cell block and
- 8 | then they have, like, a hallway. And they set me down
- 9 and then they, the four of them, instead of two, each one
- 10 grabbed one of my arms and legs and they carried me to
- 11 the segregation cell.
- 12 | Q. While they were carrying you to the segregation
- 13 cell, did you make any threats toward anyone?
- 14 A. No, I did not.
- $15 \parallel Q$. Do you have any idea about how long it was or how
- 16 | far it was that they -- that they carried you from your
- 17 | cell to the receiving cell?
- 18 \parallel A. I don't know exactly, but maybe 75, 50 feet maybe.
- 19 | Q. What then happened after the officers took you into
- 20 the receiving cell?
- 21 A. After they took me into the receiving cell, Sergeant
- 22 Hendrickson -- they laid me down on the bed facedown --
- 23 | Sergeant Hendrickson put his knee into my back, which was
- 24 | pretty painful. And Deputy Blanton was attempting to try
- $25 \parallel$ to take the handcuffs off. And when he was doing so, he

made the handcuffs even tighter than they were, which was causing me pain and to, like, tense up.

And I was in so much pain, I was groaning and grunting.

And I was in so much pain, I was groaning and grunting.

And then I heard Deputy -- Sergeant Hendrickson -- it's kind of hard to remember because I hadn't seen the video until, I don't know, like over a year and a half or something like that.

- O. Mm-mm.
- 10 | A. And --

2.0

- 11 Q. I want you to talk about what you remember and don't worry about the video today.
 - A. Okay. Sergeant Hendrickson put his knee in my back and they were trying to make the hand -- take the handcuffs off and they made them tighter. And I tried -- you know, I felt like I was suffocating or it was hard for me to breathe because they had their, like, knee on my back.

And I went to, like, move my head up and, you know, that's when Sergeant Hendrickson slammed my head down and it caused me some pain. And at that time I heard him say, "Tase his ass," and I was shot with the taser in my back, which was extremely painful.

And then I -- I think they left, you know. I think
I fainted or I don't know if I blacked out or what. I

- was in so much emotion and it was kind of hard to just -maybe I was having a panic attack, I don't know. It was
 just too much for me to deal with and it's kind of hard
 to remember certain things of what happened.
- Q. All right. Prior to the time that you heard

 Sergeant Hendrickson tell somebody to tase you, were you

 at all told that you might be tased or warned that you

 might be tased?
- $9 \parallel A$. No, not at all.

- 10 0. What did it feel like to be tased?
 - A. I don't know. It's extremely painful. I have had my fair share of injuries and been subject to different types of pains, but I could personally tell you that being shot with a taser gun is extremely painful. It's hard to explain. You can feel electricity come through your body and exit at the bottom of your feet. And it's such serious pain that, you know, I hope no one ever has to experience it because there's no -- you'll never forget that type of pain ever.
- Q. Okay. During any of the time that you were in the receiving cell did you threaten any of the officers?
- 22 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you resist their efforts to take off your
- $25 \parallel A$. No, I did not.

handcuffs?

- 1 Q. Okay. You indicated at one point that you may have
- 2 | raised your head or raised up. Did you attempt at any
- 3 | time to do anything like bite any of the officers or
- 4 | anything like that?
- 5 A. Absolutely not, no.
- 6 Q. Now, did you read any reports of this incident
- 7 | later?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 Q. And did you read anything about biting or anything
- 10 | like that?
- 11 A. Yeah. I had read --
- 12 MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 14 | BY MR. PARDON:
- 15 Q. All right. I will go on. Do you recall whether you
- 16 were asked sometime afterwards if you wanted to see the
- 17 | nurse?
- 18 A. Yeah. The nurse came to my cell and asked me some
- 19 questions. I don't remember what she asked me, but I was
- 20 | pretty much -- I was just irritated with everybody, what
- 21 | just happened to me, and I didn't want to talk to
- 22 anybody.
- 23 Q. Okay. What did your hands look like after they
- 24 | removed the handcuffs?
- $25 \parallel A$. I remember you could see, like, the indentations.

- 1 And they were like purplish-blue, like, you know, cut off
- 2 from circulation. It was like, you know, little white
- 3 dots. It's been a long time, but I don't know. It was
- 4 painful. The handcuffs were on for a long time,
- 5 extremely tight.
- 6 Q. You may have already alluded to this and I apologize
- 7 | if you said this before, but other than the video today,
- 8 when is the last time you've seen the video of this
- 9 | episode?
- 10 A. I seen it last July, maybe about 15 or 16 months
- 11 | ago.
- 12 \parallel Q. Okay. So not July of -- this recent July?
- 13 A. No. Yeah, yeah. I'm sorry. Yeah, the following
- $14 \parallel$ one, 15 -- over a year ago.
- $15 \parallel Q$. Okay. Mr. Kingsley, why did you bring this lawsuit?
- 16 A. I brought this lawsuit to hold the defendants
- 17 accountable for their actions so they wouldn't let this
- 18 | happen. What they did to me is totally uncalled for I
- 19 | believe.
- 20 MR. PARDON: Okay. I have no further questions.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Jones.
- 22 MR. JONES: Thank you, Judge.
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 | BY MR. JONES:
- 25 | Q. Mr. Kingsley, you've told us that you're in the

- custody of the state of Wisconsin currently?
- $2 \parallel A$. Yeah, at the Wisconsin Resource Center. It's a
- 3 | treatment facility.

- $4 \parallel Q$. You were there because you were convicted of a
- 5 | felony, correct?
- 6 A. Yes, that's correct.
- $7 \parallel Q$. So I, too, would like to focus though on May 21st,
- 8 | the day of this incident. I think you told us, but to be
- 9 sure, you had been in the jail for over a month at that
- 10 point, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Since sometime in April of that year?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- $14 \parallel Q$. And you were aware, as a prisoner in the jail, that
- 15 there were inmate conduct rules, correct?
- 16 $\mid A$. Yeah. The jail has rules, yes.
- 17 | Q. There was actually a written booklet that exists,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. I think it was just a piece of paper. I don't know.
- 20 | Q. A hand-out that you were given, so to speak?
- 21 A. I don't know if they gave it to you or if it's on
- 22 | the -- if it's on the -- glued or taped to the wall. I
- 23 don't know.
- $24 \parallel Q$. Fair enough. And those details are not important
- 25 | necessarily, but you did know that there were inmate

- 1 conduct rules that applied to you as a prisoner in the 2 jail, correct?
- $3 \parallel A$. Yeah. There was a piece of paper with rules on it.
- $4 \parallel \mathsf{Q}.$ I assume you were aware a pretty basic rule was that
- 5 you were required, at least according to those rules, to
- 6 | follow the jail guards' lawful orders, correct?
- $7 \parallel A$. I don't know specifically what the rules say at all.
- 8 It was kind of -- I haven't seen them for so long.
- 9 Q. Let's step back away from whatever the writing said.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. A prisoner in the jail -- as a prisoner who had been
- 12 | in the jail for over a month, you were aware that jail
- 13 staff expected you to follow their lawful orders, yes?
- 14 | A. Yeah.
- $15 \parallel 0$. That's not -- that wasn't a surprise to you?
- 16 A. That the jail has rules, no.
- 17 | Q. And I assume you also knew that it was against the
- 18 | rules to have paper or anything else covering the lights
- 19 | in the cell, correct?
- 20 | A. Was it a jail violation to have paper on a light?
- 21 Q. You knew it was against the rules to have paper on
- 22 | the light; is that correct?
- 23 A. I didn't at that time. I didn't know at that time.
- $24 \parallel Q$. You knew that at least as of when Officer Manka came
- 25 | and told you --

- A. That's what I mean. He told me to take it off, yes.
- 2 Q. So if you didn't know about it beforehand, you knew
- 3 when he first came to talk to you that he felt it was
- 4 against the rules and you needed to take the paper down?
- 5 A. Yeah, that he wanted me to take the paper off, yes.
- 6 Q. And I think you said this, but in your experience,
- 7 | it's nice to have the paper on the light because it
- 8 | reduces the brightness of the lights in the jail,
- 9 correct -- in the cell, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, unless that's a casual rumor maybe.
- 11 Q. Fair enough. So I just want to be clear on the
- 12 sequence of events that led up to the officers returning
- 13 to your cell, the multiple officers, to remove you from
- 14 your cell, okay?
- 15 | A. Okay.

- $16 \parallel Q$. So Officer Manka was the first officer to tell you
- 17 | to take the paper off the light, right?
- 18 A. That's right.
- $19 \parallel Q$. And that was lockdown the night before, right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And lockdown is when they close the cells
- 22 essentially, it's lights-out time, correct?
- 23 A. Yes. They lock all the doors, yes.
- $24 \parallel Q$. And your response to him telling you to take it down
- 25 | was to say, "I'm not going to take it down," correct?

- 1 A. Yeah. I told him I didn't put it there, I wasn't going to take it off.
- 3 Q. Now, you say you didn't say that you would resist,
 - A. No.

correct?

4

- 6 Q. But you do agree that you told him, even if you were 7 sarcastic, that it sounded like a job for the CERT team?
- 8 A. Yes, I said that, yes.
- 9 Q. You responded to Officer Manka's directive that you 10 remove the paper, with sarcasm, correct?
- 11 A. I made that statement, yes.
- 12 Q. And you testified that you were being sarcastic?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now, the next morning Officer Karl Blanton came to
- 15 your cell and asked you to remove the paper; is that
- 16 | correct?
- 17 A. I don't know if he did or not. There was a couple officers, you know, that Deputy Blanton and Deputy
- 19 | Shisler -- I don't know.
- 20 | Q. At some point they run together for you?
- 21 A. Yeah. They're all -- they're all cops.
- 22 | Q. You don't know one way or the other whether Officer
- 23 | Blanton came to your cell and asked you to remove the
- 24 paper; is that what you're telling us?
- 25 A. I don't know, no. I don't recall him saying

1 anything.

- 2 Q. At some point though you do agree that Sergeant
- 3 Hendrickson came to your cell and asked you to remove the
- 4 | paper?
- 5 A. Yeah. He was handing out medication I believe in
- $6 \parallel$ the -- early in the morning.
- $7 \parallel Q$. You told him no, you didn't want your medication.
- 8 He asked you to take the paper off the light, correct?
- 9 A. Right, right.
- 10 0. You told him, just like you told Officer Manka, "I
- 11 didn't put it up there; I'm not going to take it down";
- 12 | fair to say?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- $14 \parallel Q$. And when he asked you a second time to take it down,
- 15 | you stopped responding to him; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yeah. I didn't want to argue with him, you know.
- 17 | It seemed, like, argumentative.
- $18 \parallel Q$. So at that point you just sort of ignored what he
- 19 was saying; is that correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Instead of taking the paper down, if I have it
- 22 | right, you went back to sleep; is that correct?
- 23 A. I think so. It was early. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And then Lieutenant Conroy was the next officer, if
- 25 | I've got the sequence right, who came and asked you to

- 1 remove the paper, yes?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- $3 \parallel Q$. And you didn't tell him anything different than you
- 4 | had told the other officers. You told him you were not
- 5 going to take it down, correct?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- $7 \parallel Q$. In fact you told him maybe he should take it down,
- 8 | yes?
- 9 A. I told him he was probably in a better position. I
- 10 don't know what the jail has, they got ladders or
- 11 | something, I don't know.
- 12 | Q. In essence, you said, "I'm not going to do it; maybe
- 13 you should do it"?
- $14 \parallel A$. Yeah. I believe he was in a better position to take
- 15 | the paper off the light, yes.
- $16 \parallel Q$. At this point at least three officers, by your
- 17 memory, and maybe a fourth if Officer Blanton in fact
- 18 came, had asked you -- told you to remove the paper from
- 19 | the light, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And you had chosen to tell each one of them, "I am
- 22 | not going to do that, " correct?
- 23 A. I didn't put it there. Yes, sir.
- 24 | Q. And there was nothing unlawful about what they were
- 25 | asking you to do, was there?

```
1 MR. PARDON: Objection.
```

2 THE COURT: Sustained.

- 3 BY MR. JONES:
- $4 \parallel Q$. There was nothing improper about what they were
- 5 asking you to do, was there?
- 6 A. To take the paper off a light?
- $7 \parallel Q$. Yeah.
- 8 A. No. They wanted me to take it off.
- 9 Q. It should have been simple, right?
- 10 A. Yeah. They wanted me to take the paper off the
- 11 | light.
- 12 | Q. And so the next thing that happened is that the
- 13 officers came back to your cell, correct, five officers?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- $15 \parallel Q$. And you were still in bed when they came to the
- 16 | cell?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 \parallel Q. And when they arrived, they told you that they were
- 19 going to move you from your cell into a receiving cell?
- 20 A. No. They told me to stand up and put my hands
- 21 | behind my back, told me to get off the bed and put my
- 22 | hands behind my back.
- 23 | Q. Did you know that they were there to move you from
- 24 your cell into a different cell?
- 25 A. I kind of inferred that I guess. There was, you

- 1 know, five of them there.
- $2 \parallel Q$. From the context you knew what was happening, yes?
 - A. They planned on doing something, yes.
- $4 \parallel Q$. And what they asked you to do was stand up off your
- 5 bunk and stand with your back to the cell bars, correct?
- $6 \parallel A$. They told me to put my hands behind my back, yes.
- 7 Q. And they were telling you to do that, you
- 8 understood, so that you could be handcuffed standing up
- 9 with your back to the bars, correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.

- $11 \parallel Q$. And there was nothing improper about that request by
- 12 the officers, was there?
- 13 A. I just had a taser pointed at me. I didn't want to
- 14 get up. I still --
- 15 \ Q. But that's sort of standard operating --
- 16 A. I don't see nothing wrong with saying that, no.
- 17 | Q. Sure. But that's sort of standard operating
- 18 | procedure?
- 19 A. I don't know what their operating procedure is, no.
- 20 | Q. And you did not do what they asked you to do,
- 21 | correct?
- 22 A. No, I didn't get up. I was -- you know, I seen the
- 23 | taser. This whole thing though, once I looked up and I
- 24 | seen the taser, I was, like, woe, you know, if I make any
- 25 | sudden move, they're going to shoot me with the taser. I

- 1 was in fear of getting shot by the taser. That's why I
- 2 didn't move. I was lying facedown. I didn't want to
- 3 make any sudden movements.
- $4 \parallel 0$. Let see if I can break that down. It wasn't that
- 5 you physically couldn't get up and put your hands behind
- 6 your back, was it?
- 7 | A. No.
- $8 \parallel Q$. What you're saying is that you saw the taser?
- $9 \parallel A$. Yes.
- 10 \parallel Q. So you knew that the officers had a taser as early
- 11 | as that point, correct?
- $12 \parallel A$. I was in fear of getting shot with the taser.
- 13 | That's what I was saying.
- $14 \parallel Q$. And was the taser pointed at you?
- 15 \parallel A. Yes, it was.
- 16 Q. So taking a step back, the officers are in the
- 17 | walkway outside of your cell at this point, correct?
- 18 A. Yeah. The cell door is closed. It's like old
- 19 style, like Alcatraz, the bars, you know.
- $20 \parallel Q$. And so in relation to that particular cell, there
- 21 was a walkway that runs along the front of all of the
- 22 cells in that cell block, correct?
- 23 A. Yeah. There was, like, a narrow hallway kind of,
- 24 ∥ yeah.
- $25 \parallel Q$. And the hallway, the walkway, is bound on one side

- 1 by the doors to the cells and on the other side by
- 2 another set of bars, correct?
- $3 \parallel A$. Yeah. There's, like, five cells or six cells I
- 4 | think, five or six cells.
- 5 Q. And the walkway runs along the front of each of
- 6 | those cells?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And basically you take that walkway down to get
- 9 outside of the cell block?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- $11 \parallel Q$. So at the point in time when the officers are asking
- $12 \parallel$ you to stand up and put your hands behind your back, the
- 13 officers are outside your cell in that walkway, correct?
- 14 A. Yeah, they're outside, yes.
- 15 | O. And the bars are still closed, correct?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And that's when you noticed that Officer Degner had
- 18 | the taser, correct?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 | Q. And if I understood your testimony just now, Officer
- 21 Degner was pointing the taser at you at that point?
- 22 A. Yes. There was, like, a laser beam, infrared beam
- 23 or something on it.
- 24 | Q. And him pointing the taser at you is what made you
- 25 concerned about standing up at that point?

- 1 A. Yeah. I was in fear of getting shot by the taser,
- 2 | so I didn't want to make any sudden movements. That was
- 3 what was going through my mind at that time.
- $4 \parallel Q$. You would agree that, setting aside the taser, one
- 5 of the officers had given you the directive to stand up,
- 6 yes?
- 7 A. Yes. Sergeant Hendrickson told me to get up off my
- 8 | bed and put my hands behind my back.
- 9 0. And what you're telling us is that you were worried
- 10 | that if you did exactly what Sergeant Hendrickson
- 11 directed you to do, you would be tased?
- 12 A. Once I saw the taser, I didn't want to make any
- 13 | movements.
- 14 | Q. You didn't even want to do what they were asking you
- 15 | to do; that's what you're telling us?
- 16 A. Yeah. I figured I would just lay still laying on
- 17 | the bed, so I didn't make any movements.
- 18 | Q. And if I understood your testimony when Mr. Pardon
- 19 was asking you questions, you also said to the officers
- 20 | at that point, "What for?" "Why are you here?" "I
- 21 | didn't do anything wrong." Is that correct?
- 22 A. Right. I said I didn't do anything wrong, yes.
- 23 Q. You knew of course at that point that you had failed
- 24 to follow orders from at least three officers to take the
- 25 | paper down though, correct?

- A. That's what they wanted me to do, yes.
- $2 \parallel Q$. And you knew that you hadn't done it?
- 3 | A. Yes.

- $4 \parallel Q$. When you refused, for whatever reason, to stand up,
- 5 | Lieutenant Conroy then told you just to put your hands
- 6 behind your back while you were lying on your bunk,
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A. Yeah. He said, "Just put your hands behind your
- 9 back then, yes.
- 10 0. And you did do that?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. And that's the point at which the officers then came
- 13 | into the cell?
- 14 A. Yeah, they opened up the door, yes.
- $15 \parallel Q$. And that's when they put handcuffs on you?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. At the point they came into the cell, it's -- you
- 18 were certainly awake by this point, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Early in the morning, but you were wide awake?
- 21 A. I was awake, yes.
- 22 Q. And you had your senses about you, yes?
- 23 A. Yes, I guess. It was a long time ago.
- 24 | Q. You were aware of what was going on?
- 25 | A. Yes.

- Q. You were able to talk?
- 2 | A. Yes.

- $3 \parallel Q$. And help me understand. Did you or did you not
- 4 tense up your arms as the officers tried to put handcuffs
- 5 on you?
- 6 A. At that time, as soon as the cell door opened,
- 7 | Sergeant Hendrickson put his knee into my upper back.
- 8 | So, I mean, I guess I did tense up, yes, with his knee in
- 9 my back.
- 10 | 0. And what you're telling us is that you think you did
- 11 tense up, but you think it was because of Sergeant
- 12 | Hendrickson's knee in your back?
- 13 A. Yeah, his body weight on top of me, yes.
- $14 \parallel Q$. You would agree that if you had stood up for the
- 15 | officers to put handcuffs on you, it would not have been
- 16 | necessary for any of that to happen, correct?
- 17 A. I just didn't want to get shot with the taser.
- 18 Q. But if you had stood up, it wouldn't have been
- 19 | necessary for anyone to come in and physically handcuff
- 20 you in that way, would it?
- 21 A. If I would have stood up, I don't know what would
- 22 | have happened. I just felt that maybe -- I just felt it
- 23 safer to lay there down facedown on the bunk.
- 24 | Q. Once you were handcuffed, the officers asked you to
- 25 | stand?

- A. Once I had the handcuffs on, yes.
- $2 \parallel Q$. And you did or did not stand or get off the bunk?
 - A. I believe I attempted -- I tried to. I don't know.
- 4 It was kind of hard. I had the handcuffs behind my back.
- 5 So I was laying facedown, so it was kind of hard. They
- 6 told me to get up and I think I tried. I told them I
- 7 couldn't get up. It was kind of hard, I don't know, to
- 8 get up when you're handcuffed behind your back, so --
- 9 \parallel Q. So eventually you were on the floor of your cell on
- 10 your knees, correct?
- 11 A. When they pulled me off the bed, yes.
- 12 | Q. When you said you couldn't get off the bunk, they
- 13 pulled you off the bunk, onto the floor --
- 14 | A. Yes.

- 15 | 0. -- in a kneeling position?
- 16 A. Yes. I went to my knees because when they pulled me
- 17 off, my feet slapped the bed frame.
- $18 \parallel Q$. Okay. And at that point they asked you to stand up
- 19 off the floor, correct?
- 20 | A. I think they said, yeah, "Get up and walk or we'll
- 21 drag you." I think that's what they said.
- 22 | Q. And you told him, told them rather, that you
- 23 couldn't stand up at all, correct?
- 24 A. Yeah. My foot was hurting.
- 25 \parallel Q. This is because, your testimony is, your foot hurt?

- A. Yeah, my foot hit the bed frame, yes.
- $2 \parallel 0$. And which foot was it?
- $3 \parallel A$. Both my feet hit, but I believe my right one hit
- 4 | harder than my left one did. They were both hurting.
- 5 But it was, like, stinging and numbing, so I went to my
- 6 knees complaining about my foot; I remember that.
- 7 Q. So your right foot hurt worse?
- 8 A. Yes.

- 9 Q. And you say it was stinging?
- 10 A. Yeah, it was numb, yeah.
- 11 | Q. And as a result of your right foot stinging, you
- 12 were unable to stand up at all; is that your testimony?
- 13 || A. At that time, yes.
- $14 \parallel Q$. And you were unable to walk at all because of your
- 15 | right foot stinging?
- 16 \parallel A. Yes. At that time it was very painful.
- 17 | Q. And you're still awake, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Still able to talk, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- $21 \parallel Q$. And what your testimony is is that the officers
- 22 dragged you from the cell?
- 23 A. Yeah. They said, "Get up and walk or we'll drag
- 24 | you."
- 25 | Q. I'm not asking you what they said; I'm asking you

- what they did. Your testimony is that the officers dragged you from the cell?
- 3∥A. Yes.
- $4 \parallel Q$. Can you describe how it is that they dragged you?
- 5 A. Like, one had one side of my arm and the other one
- 6 had the other side. And they, you know, dragged me
- 7 across the floor. They didn't carry me.
- 8 Q. Did they lift you up off the floor at all?
- 9 A. I don't think so.
- 10 Q. And where did they take you?
- 11 A. They took me through the cell block and then there's
- 12 | a hallway. They sat me facedown on the hallway floor.
- 13 Q. And what happened out in the hallway?
- 14 A. Then they gathered around and each one -- there's
- 15 | four officers -- one each grabbed my arm and my legs and
- 16 they carried me to the segregation, so --
- 17 \parallel Q. The officers, was there any conversation between you
- 18 | and the officers out in the main hallway?
- $19 \parallel A$. I don't remember them talking to me or anything, no.
- 20 Q. You don't think they did?
- 21 A. I don't remember at this time no.
- 22 | 0. I want to be sure I understand. You don't think
- 23 | they did or you don't remember one way or the other?
- 24 A. I don't remember.
- 25 | Q. Fair enough. In fact the officers asked you what

- was wrong with your foot, didn't they?
- $2 \parallel A$. I think they said that a couple times. They asked
- 3 me that inside the cell and I think they may have. I'm
- 4 | not -- I can't remember. It's a long time ago.
- 5 Q. When they asked you what was wrong with your foot,
- 6 you didn't respond, did you?
- 7 A. I just -- I kept on saying my foot hurt.
- $8 \parallel Q$. Out in the main hallway you kept saying that your
- 9 | foot hurt?

- 10 A. I believe so, yes.
- 11 | Q. The officers asked you which foot hurt, didn't they,
- 12 out in the main hallway?
- 13 A. I don't remember. I was quite belligerent. I said
- 14 | my foot hurt numerous times. I don't -- I'm sure I said
- 15 \parallel it in the hallway. I said it in the receiving cell. I
- $16 \parallel$ said it inside the cell. I said it numerous times. I
- 17 don't remember every time I said it.
- $18 \parallel Q$. I want to be clear what happened out in the main
- 19 hallway. So to go back to my question, the officers
- 20 | asked you which foot hurt when you were out in the main
- 21 | hallway, correct?
- 22 A. They could have. I'm not for sure they did or not.
- 23 Q. When they asked you that, you didn't respond to
- 24 | them, did you?
- 25 MR. PARDON: Objection.

```
1
            MR. JONES: There was an objection, Your Honor.
 2
            THE COURT: I didn't hear it. I'm sorry.
 3
            MR. PARDON: I had objected that -- well, I
 4
   don't want to argue about what the objection is in front
 5
   of the jury.
 6
             THE COURT: I'm sorry. What was your objection?
7
            MR. PARDON: I objected to the question. Maybe
   we should, you know. I'm sorry. I will withdraw the
 8
9
   objection. Go ahead. Start over.
10
            THE COURT: Okay.
11
            MR. JONES: I will ask the question again.
12
            THE WITNESS: Okay.
13
   BY MR. JONES:
14
   Q. Out in the main hallway, in response to the
15
   officers' questions, you did not tell them which foot
16
   hurt, did you?
17
        I don't remember. I don't think I did. I don't
18
   know. I'm not for sure. I complained.
19
        Just -- I just want to stick to the main hallway.
2.0
   Α.
        Okay.
21
        Out in the main hallway, the officers asked you what
22
   was wrong with your foot, didn't they?
23
            MR. PARDON: Objection.
24
             THE COURT: Overruled.
25
         I don't -- I don't remember. I mean, I know they
```

- 1 asked me what was wrong with my foot inside the cell.
- 2 | It's hard for me to remember every single detail what
- $3 \parallel$ they said to me.
- 4 | Q. When this incident had occurred, you had been in the
- 5 main hallway of the jail before, hadn't you?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you had certainly been up and down the cell
- 8 | block before, correct?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 \parallel Q. And you had been in the receiving cell or one of the
- 11 | receiving cells before that day, correct?
- $12 \parallel A$. That day?
- 13 Q. Poorly-worded question. Before May 21st, you had
- 14 been in one or more of the receiving cells, correct?
- 15 A. Yeah. Yes.
- $16 \parallel Q$. So you knew there was a camera at the end of the
- 17 | cell block?
- 18 A. Yes. There's cameras all -- I don't know where they
- 19 got the cameras at. I know there is cameras all over the
- $20 \parallel \text{jail, yes.}$
- $21 \parallel Q$. You knew there was a camera in the main hallway,
- 22 | didn't you?
- 23 A. I'm sure there was one.
- $24 \parallel Q$. And you knew there was a camera in the receiving
- 25 | cell?

2 - A - 75

```
1
             MR. PARDON: Objection.
 2
             THE COURT: Did you or did you not know there
 3
   was a camera there?
 4
             THE WITNESS: Inside in the hallway?
 5
             THE COURT: I think you said in the receiving
 6
   cell, didn't you?
 7
             MR. JONES: I was asking about the receiving
 8
   cell.
 9
        Yeah, there was a camera on the wall, yes.
10
        So just moving forward from the main hallway, the
   officers picked you up and carried you from the main
11
12
   hallway into the receiving cell, yes?
13
   Α.
        Yes.
14
        And when they put you in the cell, they put you
15
   facedown on the bunk that's in the cell, correct?
16
             THE COURT: And, Mr. Jones, I'm going to
17
    interrupt you at this point. We will take a 15-minute
18
   recess and then resume with Mr. Jones' cross-examination
19
   of Mr. Kingsley. Counsel, is there anything you wish to
20
   take up outside the jury's presence?
21
             MR. JONES: No, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: If you have been taking notes,
23
   please leave your notepads on your chairs.
         (Jury out at 10:48 a.m.)
24
25
         (Recess at 10:48 a.m. until 11:00 a.m.)
```

2 - A - 76

- 1 THE COURT: Mr. Jones.
- 2 MR. JONES: Thank you, Judge.
- 3 BY MR. JONES:
- $4 \parallel Q$. Mr. Kingsley, when we took the break, we were at the
- 5 point where the officers brought you into the receiving
- 6 | cell, yes?
- $7 \parallel A$. I believe so, yes.
- $8 \parallel Q$. And what they did when they brought you in the
- 9 receiving cell is they laid you on the bunk that was in
- 10 | the receiving cell, correct?
- 11 A. Yes, they laid me facedown, yes.
- 12 Q. And what they tried to do at that point is they took
- 13 the handcuffs off, yes?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- $15 \parallel Q$. I think it's fair to say you wanted them to take the
- 16 | handcuffs off, yes?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- $18 \parallel Q$. I think you told us that they were tight, right,
- 19 | from the get-go, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- $21 \parallel Q$. And at that point you were obviously still awake,
- 22 yes?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Still able to communicate with the officers, yes?
- 25 | A. Yes.

- Q. Still able to talk?
- 2 | A. Yes.

- 3 Q. And what the officers were telling you as they were
- 4 doing what they were doing was that they wanted you to
- 5 | relax, correct?
- $6 \parallel A$. Yes. They said that a couple times.
- 7 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to start with the next one
- 8 before you were done. What they were telling you, in
- 9 their words, was to stop resisting, correct?
- 10 A. I think they said, "Stop resisting and relax," a
- 11 couple times, yes.
- 12 Q. But for whatever reason, they were having trouble
- 13 getting the handcuffs off, yes?
- $14 \parallel A$. I believe so, yes.
- $15 \parallel Q$. Do you agree that as the officers were taking the
- 16 | handcuffs or trying to take the handcuffs off that your
- 17 | arms were tense?
- 18 \parallel A. My whole body was tense, if I remember right, yes.
- 19 Q. So your arms were -- the muscles in your arms were
- 20 | flexed, they were tense?
- 21 A. My whole body I guess. Sergeant Hendrickson had his
- 22 knee in my back, upper back.
- 23 | Q. For the moment I'm not -- I don't want to get into
- 24 | why; I just want to know if certain things occurred; is
- 25 | that fair?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. So you say your whole body was tense?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- $4 \parallel Q$. And that would include your arms, yes?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And what that means is the muscles in your arms were
- 7 | tense or flexed, yes?
- 8 A. Yes, I guess. I was tense, yes.
- $9 \parallel Q$. And the muscles in your upper body, your torso, they
- 10 were tense as well?
- 11 A. I believe so, yes.
- 12 \parallel Q. Do you agree that you were moving your arms at all?
- 13 A. I don't believe I was moving my arms at all. I was
- 14 | handcuffed behind my back, so I don't -- I don't think
- 15 there was any room to move around.
- $16 \parallel Q$. You don't think you can move your arms at all while
- 17 you are handcuffed behind your back?
- 18 A. It's possible, but I wasn't. I don't think I was,
- 19 | no.
- 20 | Q. Okay. You think your arms were still?
- 21 A. Yeah. They're behind my back, yes.
- 22 0. Do you agree that you were moving your torso?
- 23 A. May have been. I don't remember if I was.
- 24 | Q. Okay. Do you agree that you were moving your head
- 25 | and shoulders around?

- A. I did lift my head up.
- $2 \parallel Q$. At one point you agree that you lifted your
- 3 shoulders and head up off the bunk, correct?
- $4 \parallel A$. Yes.

- 5 Q. Other than that movement, do you agree that you were
- 6 moving your head and shoulders around?
- 7 A. Yeah. I lifted my head up, yes, trying to catch my
- 8 | breath.
- 9 0. I'm asking -- okay. If you had laid perfectly
- 10 | still, can you think of any reason that the officers
- 11 would not have been able to take the handcuffs off?
- 12 A. I believe that they were too tight. It's like a lot
- 13 of pressure. Handcuffs click down, like. It's hard to
- 14 explain. There's, like, a lot of pressure. It seemed
- 15 | like the handcuffs were getting tighter.
- 16 Q. Okay. If we set aside how tight the handcuffs were
- 17 around your wrists; if you laid perfectly still, can you
- 18 | think of any reason why the officers would not have been
- 19 able to remove the handcuffs?
- 20 MR. PARDON: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 22 A. I believe I was trying to stay as still as possible
- 23 | to try to get the handcuffs off.
- $24 \parallel Q$. And I'm not -- for purposes of this question, I'm
- 25 going in a different direction.

- A. Okay.
- $2 \parallel Q$. Can you think of any reason that the officers would
- 3 not have been able to remove your cuffs if you had been
- 4 | still?

- 5 A. If they put them on wrong. I don't know.
- $6 \parallel Q$. And you think if the handcuffs were on too tight,
- 7 | they would be hard to remove?
- 8 A. I never took handcuffs off anybody. I don't know.
- $9 \parallel Q$. You don't know whether that would have factored in
- 10 | or not?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- $12 \parallel Q$. Okay. You testified in terms of how the officers
- 13 were positioned next to you, correct?
- 14 A. Inside the segregation cell?
- 15 | O. Yes.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And you say that Officer Hendrickson put his knee
- 18 somewhere on your body, correct?
- 19 A. Yeah. He put it in my back, yes.
- 20 | Q. Okay. Did Officer Shisler put any weight on your
- 21 | body?
- 22 A. I don't remember.
- 23 Q. You don't know one way or the other?
- $24 \parallel A$. I don't know if he did or not.
- 25 Q. Okay. And he was at your feet, yes?

- A. I believe so, yes.
- 2 Q. Officer Blanton, did he put his body weight on you?
 - | A. I believe he was attempting to take the handcuffs
- $4 \parallel \text{off.}$

- 5 Q. Okay. And so while he was doing that, did he put
- 6 | his weight on you at all?
- $7 \parallel A$. No, he didn't put his weight on me, no.
- 8 Q. Okay. So in terms of the officers that were there,
- 9 was it only Officer Hendrickson that had any weight on
- 10 | you?
- 11 A. He had his knee in my back, yes.
- 12 THE COURT: Could you stay forward, speak into
- 13 | the microphone?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 15 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 16 BY MR. JONES:
- 17 | Q. If you made any movements at all, why do you think
- 18 ∥ you were moving?
- 19 A. Because of the pain I was going through.
- 20 Q. And that's the pain related to what?
- 21 A. The handcuffs, my foot.
- 22 0. Okay.
- 23 A. Just overall the knee in my back.
- $24 \parallel Q$. Okay. So the pain in your foot was causing you to
- 25 | move your upper body?

- 1 A. I guess. If I was moving my upper body, I was 2 tensed up, yes.
- |Q. And the pain in the handcuffs or because of the
- 4 | handcuffs you say was causing you to move your body?
 - A. I believe so. I was tense, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And where exactly did Officer Hendrickson
- 7 have his knee?

- 8 A. It was in, like, my upper back area. I don't know.
- 9 It's kind of hard to explain. Upper back.
- 10 0. And how much weight did he have on it?
- 11 A. I don't know how much Sergeant Hendrickson weighs.
- 12 Q. I don't mean in terms of a number. Relatively
- 13 | speaking, how much weight was he applying?
- 14 A. It felt like a ton. I don't know.
- $15 \parallel Q$. And are you testifying that you were moving because
- 16 | of the weight that Officer Hendrickson was putting on
- 17 | you?
- 18 A. I mean, it was painful, yes.
- 19 Q. And him having his weight on you, your testimony is,
- 20 | that is what caused you to move?
- 21 A. Accompanied by the handcuffs and my feet hurting,
- 22 | everything altogether, yes.
- 23 Q. Did Officer Hendrickson have his knee on your back
- $24 \parallel$ the entire time this was going on?
- 25 A. I don't remember. It felt like he did.

- 1 Q. Okay. And if there was a point in time when his
- 2 knee came off your back, did you continue to move or did
- 3 you remain still or did you -- were you still?
- $4 \parallel A$. I believe I was still. I wasn't moving.
- 5 Q. Okay. So when his knee came off your back, you
- 6 | remained still?
- $7 \parallel A$. I believe so, yes.
- 8 Q. And I think you testified that Sergeant Hendrickson
- 9 at one point said the phrase, "Tase his ass"?
- 10 A. That's what I heard, yes.
- $11 \parallel Q$. Okay. And so he obviously said that loud enough
- 12 | that you could hear him say that?
- 13 A. Yes, I heard him, yes.
- 14 | Q. And I think you also testified that during this you
- 15 | felt like you were suffocating?
- $16 \parallel A$. It was, like, hard to breathe. He had his weight on
- 17 | top of me.
- $18 \parallel Q$. All right.
- 19 A. That's why, if any movement, I was trying to catch
- 20 my breath.
- 21 | Q. And you did respond verbally to what the officers
- 22 were telling you, yes?
- 23 A. I believe I made a couple comments, yes.
- 24 | Q. At what point you told them, and I'm trying to quote
- 25 you --

- $1 \parallel A$. Okay.
- $2 \parallel Q$. -- you told them to take the mother-fuckers off,
- 3 yes?
- 4 A. I believe that's what I said.
- 5 Q. And then shortly after that you also told them to
- 6 | leave them on and get the fuck out, correct?
- 7 A. I don't remember exactly what I said, but I know I
- 8 | said, "Get the 'f' off me," you know. I don't remember
- 9 exactly what I said. I was in such pain, I can't recall
- 10 what I said.
- 11 Q. Okay. You would agree though that if we hear you on
- 12 | the video saying, "Get the fuck out," that was -- you
- 13 | said that?
- 14 A. Yes, if that's what the video said, yes.
- $15 \parallel Q$. And you were able to make those two statements,
- 16 Take the mother-fuckers off and "Get the fuck out,"
- 17 | even though you were suffocating?
- 18 A. That felt like I was, you know, yes.
- $19 \parallel Q$. After the taser was used, Lieutenant Conroy told the
- 20 | other officers to leave the handcuffs on and to exit from
- 21 the cell, yes?
- 22 A. I don't remember what he said. I know they ended up
- 23 | leaving.
- $24 \parallel Q$. That's what the officers did?
- $25 \parallel A$. Yes.

2-A-85

Q. Yes?

- 2 | A. Yes.
- $3 \parallel Q$. They left the cell and they didn't use any more
- 4 | force against you?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. And about ten or so minutes later, officers came
- 7 | back and removed the handcuffs, yes?
- 8 A. I don't -- I don't know exact time. I don't
- 9 | remember.
- 10 Q. Okay. So they did come back and take the handcuffs
- 11 | off?
- $12 \parallel A$. Yes, they did.
- 13 Q. Mr. Kingsley, you testified that the taser was
- 14 | painful?
- 15 \parallel A. Extremely, yes.
- $16 \parallel Q$. You testified specifically that you felt the
- 17 | electricity exit at both of your feet?
- 18 A. That's what it felt like. It felt like just -- I
- 19 don't know. It's like your whole body getting slapped or
- 20 | something. It's kind of hard to explain unless -- I
- 21 | don't know.
- 22 Q. The taser was used on the back of your right
- 23 | shoulder, yes?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 $\|$ Q. So it's sort of at the top of your back?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. In the muscular area there?
- 3 A. In my back, yes.
- $4 \parallel Q$. I want to make sure I understand. You say you felt
- 5 | like the electricity went all way down to your feet; is
- 6 | that correct?
- 7 A. Just everywhere.
- 8 Q. Mr. Kingsley, have you ever been tased other than
- 9 | this incident?
- 10 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 11 Q. If we go back to May 21st, at some point not that
- 12 long after the incident the jail nurse came to see you?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 14 Q. And you declined to see her, yes?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. You were irritated; that's what you said?
- 17 A. Yeah. I didn't want to talk to anybody.
- $18 \parallel Q$. And you didn't ask her for any medical attention,
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A. I didn't say anything to her.
- 21 | Q. You didn't ask for anyone to give you medical
- 22 attention as a result of the taser, did you?
- 23 A. I didn't want to talk to anybody. No
- 24 MR. JONES: Those are the questions I have. I
- 25 | appreciate it.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Pardon. MR. PARDON: Yes. I just have a couple of 2 3 follow-up questions. 4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. PARDON: 6 Mr. Kingsley, you testified that when the officers 7 came to take you out of the cell, you saw Deputy Degner with the taser; do you recall that? 8 9 Yes. Q. All right. Do you know what Deputy Degner was doing 10 the entire time that they were talking to you? 11 12 I believe he was just positioned with the taser like 13 with his hand pointed at me. 14 MR. PARDON: Okay. No further questions. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Jones, anything? 16 MR. JONES: I have nothing further. 17 THE COURT: You may step down. Mr. Pardon, you 18 may call your next witness. 19 MR. PARDON: Your Honor, we call Lieutenant 2.0 Robert Conroy. 21 MR. JONES: He's outside. 22 THE COURT: Out in the hall? Okay. 23 ROBERT CONROY, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN 24 THE COURT: Please come forward to be sworn. 25 THE CLERK: You can have a seat and please make

sure to speak right into the microphone.

ADVERSE EXAMINATION

- 3 BY MR. PARDON:
- $4 \parallel Q$. Good morning, Lieutenant Conroy.
- 5 A. Good morning.
- 6 Q. Obvious question, but could you state your name,
- 7 | please?

1

- 8 A. Robert Conroy.
- 9 MR. PARDON: All right. I apologize, Your
- 10 | Honor. I request permission to proceed with an adverse
- 11 examination.
- 12 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 13 MR. JONES: No, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: You may proceed.
- 15 MR. PARDON: Thank you.
- 16 BY MR. PARDON:
- 17 | Q. Lieutenant Conroy, you're presently a patrol
- 18 | operations lieutenant for Monroe County; isn't that
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- $21 \parallel Q$. All right. And you've held that position since
- 22 | March of 2011, right?
- 23 A. Right around there, yes, correct.
- $24 \parallel Q$. And prior to that time you were a jail administrator
- 25 | for Monroe County, right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- $2 \parallel Q$. All right. And you were a jail administrator as of
- 3 May 21st, 2010, the time of the incident in question in
- 4 | this lawsuit; isn't that correct?
- $5 \parallel A$. Yes.
- $6 \parallel Q$. And your job as a jail administrator was to
- 7 | supervise the jail staff and to be responsible for
- 8 managing the daily operation of the jail; isn't that
- 9 | correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. And at the time of the incident in question that led
- 12 | to this lawsuit, you had been working in law enforcement
- 13 or jails in one capacity or another for about ten years,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 | A. Since 1995.
- 16 Q. So it's at least ten years, closer to 15 years?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. You personally first became aware that there was a
- 19 situation involving Mr. Kingsley on the morning of May
- 20 | 21st at about around 6:15 in the morning; is that right?
- 21 $\|$ A. I believe it was 6:13 I received a phone call, yes.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And it was Sergeant Hendrickson who called
- 23 | you, correct?
- 24 | A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. And Sergeant Hendrickson at the time was the shift

- supervisor in the jail; is that right?
- 2 A. Correct, third shift, yes.
- 3 Q. And Sergeant Hendrickson had told you that
- 4 Mr. Kingsley had refused to take some paper off of his
- 5 light the night before, that he was facing discipline and
- 6 that he still didn't want to take the paper down; is that
- 7 | right?

- 8 A. Among other things, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And so you told Sergeant Hendrickson you were
- 10 on your way in and you would be there in a short while;
- 11 | is that right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. All right. And you got there about 6:30, right?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. All right. And you spoke with Sergeant Hendrickson
- 16 about the matter at the time, right?
- 17 A. After I entered the jail, yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And then after you spoke with Sergeant
- 19 | Hendrickson, you went to talk to Mr. Kingsley, right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And when you spoke with Mr. Kingsley, he was lying
- 22 on his bunk facedown with his head towards the bars,
- 23 | right?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And during that conversation you told him that he

- 1 had to take the paper down and he said he didn't put it
- 2 up there and he didn't want to take it down; is that
- 3 | right?
- $4 \parallel A$. That was -- yes.
- 5 Q. All right. When Mr. Kingsley had told you that he
- 6 didn't put the paper over the light, you believed him at
- 7 | the time, didn't you?
- 8 | A. I did.
- $9 \parallel Q$. And in fact you didn't know how long the light --
- 10 the paper had been up on the light before that, right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. And I think you told him that you would take
- 13 | it down, but you needed to move him out of his cell to do
- 14 | so, right?
- 15 A. There was a discussion about that, yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And I think, it is incorrect, you also told
- 17 | him that he would have to go to the receiving cell to
- 18 | face disciplinary action or because of disciplinary
- 19 | action; is that correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. All right. And the receiving cell is sometimes
- 22 referred to by the inmates as segregation or the hole;
- 23 | isn't that correct?
- $24 \parallel A$. The hole more than anything, yes.
- 25 | Q. Basically it's considered to be more restrictive

- 1 than an inmate's regular cell, right?
- $2 \parallel A$. Yes, that's a basic explanation.
- $3 \parallel Q$. They aren't next to any inmates, right?
- 4 A. There's three cells in that area.
- 5 Q. Okay. But the only way they can see out of the cell
- 6 | basically is through a little hole in the door that can
- 7 | be opened; is that correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. All right. Now, when you spoke with Mr. Kingsley
- 10 during your conversation, he didn't make any physical
- 11 | threats to you; isn't that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. And he didn't make any threatening statements
- 14 | towards you?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. All right. And you didn't feel threatened by your
- 17 | interaction with him, right?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so after you spoke with Mr. Kingsley, you
- 20 went and spoke with some of the other jail staff, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | O. All right. And I think you told the other members
- 23 of the jail staff, you know, he's going to receiving; is
- $24 \parallel$ that right?
- 25 | A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. And by that time some others had arrived, it
- 2 wasn't just you and Sergeant Hendrickson, right?
- 3 A. Correct.
- $4 \parallel Q$. All right. And the others included a Deputy
- 5 | Blanton, Deputy Degner and a Sergeant Shisler, correct?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- $7 \parallel Q$. All right. And you guys were going to do what you
- 8 | call a cell extraction?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. That means you were going to move Mr. Kingsley out
- 11 of his cell?
- 12 | A. Correct.
- 13 \parallel Q. So at that time, as I understand it, the plan was
- 14 | that Sergeant Hendrickson was going to talk to
- 15 | Mr. Kingsley, Deputy Blanton would help handcuff him,
- 16 | Deputy Degner would operate the taser, and yourself and
- 17 | Sergeant Shisler would sort of fill in as needed, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, Sergeant Hendrickson was the shift supervisor,
- 20 | but you were still the highest-ranking employee in the
- 21 | jail at the time, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. And it was sort of understood you were in charge,
- 24 | right?
- $25 \parallel A$. Yes.

- 1 Q. All right. So as I understand it, next you, along
- 2 with Sergeant Hendrickson, Deputy Degner, Mr. Shisler,
- 3 Deputy Blanton, you all went to the cell?
- $4 \parallel A$. I, no.
- 5 Q. Okay. At some point you and Sergeant Hendrickson
- 6 and Deputy Degner and Mr. Shisler and Deputy Blanton, you
- $7 \parallel$ all went down to the cell to do the cell extraction; is
- 8 | that right?
- 9 A. Three went down first and then Sergeant Shisler and
- 10 | I came down later.
- 11 Q. Got it. Thank you. So the cell block was locked
- 12 down at the time; isn't that correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. And when it's locked down, it means you know the
- 15 | other inmates are locked in their cells, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. So they aren't, you know, milling aren't, they can't
- 18 | interfere, they're not going to be in the hallway
- 19 | interfering with anything you would be doing; is that
- 20 | correct?
- 21 A. Correct.

right?

- 22 Q. All right. So once you got there, it's my
- 23 understanding Sergeant Hendrickson told Mr. Kingsley he
- 24 | should stand up and back up to the door to be handcuffed,
- 25

- 1 A. Correct.
- $2 \parallel Q$. All right. And in response, as I understand it, you
- 3 don't recall Mr. Kingsley saying anything in response to
- 4 | that?
- 5 A. I know he was saying things, but I couldn't -- I was
- 6 still back by the day room area, so I couldn't really
- 7 understand what he was saying, no.
- 8 Q. Okay. Mr. Kingsley didn't make any threats at that
- 9 | time, did he?
- $10 \parallel A$. Not that I'm aware of.
- 11 Q. All right. And at some point then you told
- 12 Mr. Kingsley, "Place your arms behind your back,"
- 13 | correct?
- 14 A. After I went down to the cell, yes.
- $15 \parallel Q$. Okay. And he did in response to your order, didn't
- 16 he?
- 17 A. Somewhat.
- 18 Q. But he put his hands behind his back, right?
- 19 A. Along the sides of his body. I wouldn't categorize
- 20 | behind his back.
- 21 | Q. Okay. At that time he was lying -- he was still
- 22 | lying facedown on his bunk; isn't that right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. All right. And so shortly after this the cell door
- 25 | opened and then several of the deputies went into the

- 1 | cell, correct?
- $2 \parallel A$. Two went into the cell.
- 3 | Q. And they were Sergeant Hendrickson and Deputy
- 4 | Blanton?

- A. Correct.
- 6 Q. All right. And Sergeant Hendrickson tried to
- 7 | handcuff him, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 0. All right. And you personally did not actually see
- 10 how Mr. Kingsley was handcuffed; isn't that correct?
- 11 | A. Not the handcuffing, no.
- 12 Q. Okay. And actually the only thing you know for sure
- 13 about the actual handcuffing is from reading other
- 14 people's reports; isn't that correct?
- $15 \parallel A$. I could say that his arms were straight out and that
- 16 they weren't together, they were at the edge of his body.
- 17 \parallel Q. To be clear though, you didn't actually see him
- 18 | being handcuffed?
- 19 A. No, I did not see him being handcuffed.
- 20 | Q. Thank you. Sometimes the no, yes, it gets unclear
- 21 | in the record. Okay. Well, after the handcuffs were
- 22 applied, Mr. Kingsley was told to stand up and walk;
- 23 | isn't that correct?
- 24 | A. Correct.
- 25 Q. All right. And he didn't do that; in fact he

- complained about his foot hurting, right?
- 2 | A. Yes.

- $3 \parallel Q$. And so he was then carried into the hallway by
- 4 | Sergeant Hendrickson and Deputy Blanton, correct?
- $5 \parallel A$. Yes.
- 6 Q. Carried or dragged, depending on what you hear,
- 7 | right?
- 8 A. Carried.
- $9 \parallel Q$. All right. And after he was brought out into the
- 10 | hallway, you talked to him a little bit, didn't you?
- 11 | A. Correct.
- $12 \parallel Q$. All right. And during the time that you were
- 13 | talking to him, he didn't make any threats to you or to
- 14 any of the other deputies, correct?
- 15 \parallel A. No, he didn't.
- $16 \parallel Q$. And so a group of you then carried him down to the
- 17 | receiving cell, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- $19 \parallel Q$. All right. And I think it's your estimate that the
- 20 distance he was carried from his cell down to the
- 21 receiving cell was approximately a hundred feet?
- 22 A. Yes. That was my estimate.
- 23 Q. Okay. And during the time he was being carried,
- 24 | Mr. Kingsley did not make any threats to harm anyone;
- 25 | isn't that correct?

A. Correct.

- $2 \parallel Q$. And during the time he was carried, Mr. Kingsley did
- 3 | not exhibit any active resistance, as far as you know;
- 4 | isn't that correct?
- $5 \parallel A$. He showed resistance, but active, no.
- 6 Q. Okay. And after Mr. Kingsley was carried to the
- 7 | receiving cell, he was placed facedown on the concrete
- 8 | bunk with his head away from the door, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. All right. And just to be clear so the jury kind of
- 11 has an idea, in the receiving cell there's the doorway,
- 12 | kind of the entryway, and then the concrete bunk is on
- 13 the left as you walk into the cell, right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- $15 \parallel Q$. Okay. And basically after you helped carry him in,
- 16 you then sort of walked and stood outside the cell,
- 17 || right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. All right. And that left Sergeant Hendrickson and
- 20 | Deputy Degner still in the cell along with Sergeant
- 21 | Shisler and Deputy Blanton, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. And in terms of where the deputies were,
- 24 | Deputy Blanton eventually sort of assumed the role of
- 25 | removing the cuffs. He was sort of in the middle of

- Mr. Kingsley; isn't that right?
- 2 | A. Yes.

- $3 \parallel Q$. And Sergeant Shisler was sort of at Mr. Kingsley's
- 4 | legs, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And Sergeant Hendrickson was sort of at his torso
- 7 | and his head, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- $9 \parallel Q$. So they are all to the right of him, just to be
- 10 | clear, right?
- 11 A. They were all on his right side, yes.
- 12 | Q. And Deputy Degner was sort of standing behind him
- 13 for a while and at one point he moved to Mr. Kingsley's
- 14 shoulder area between Dr. Blanton and Sergeant
- 15 | Hendrickson, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. And you were outside, again, just to be clear?
- 18 A. Just outside, yes.
- 19 Q. And if you looked in, you could see some of what was
- 20 going on in the receiving cell, but some of it was
- 21 | blocked by the deputies, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. All right. And you don't recall, for example,
- 24 whether you see -- saw, like, Mr. Kingsley's feet moving
- 25 | around, right?

- 1 A. I don't recall his feet moving around, no.
- $2 \parallel Q$. And you believe you saw some movement of
- 3 Mr. Kingsley. It was mostly he moved his upper body some
- 4 of the time, right?
- 5 A. Movements in his upper body, yes.
- $6 \parallel Q$. He wasn't moving his upper body the entire time,
- 7 | right?
- 8 A. Not the entire time, no.
- 9 Q. And you kind of heard some moaning and growing from
- 10 Mr. Kingsley, right?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. And you heard him say, "Leave the
- 13 | handcuffs on and get the 'f' out, " right?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. All right. And you personally didn't see any
- 16 resistive tension in Mr. Kingsley's arms, right?
- 17 A. Inside the cell?
- 18 Q. Inside the receiving cell, correct.
- 19 A. Not while he was inside the cell no.
- 20 | Q. Okay. You didn't hear Mr. Kingsley make any
- 21 physical threats to harm any of the deputies while he was
- 22 | inside the receiving cell, did you?
- 23 A. I did not.
- 24 | Q. All right. You did not see Mr. Kingsley attempt to
- 25 | bite anyone, did you?

A. I did not.

- $2 \parallel Q$. You didn't hear anything from any of the deputies
- 3 | that suggested Mr. Kingsley was attempting to bite
- 4 ∥ anyone, did you?
- 5 A. While inside the receiving cell?
- 6 Q. While inside the receiving cell.
- $7 \parallel A$. No, I did not.
- 8 Q. Thank you. All right. And I would like to ask you
- 9 about the use of the taser. You didn't hear anyone
- 10 | threaten to use the taser before it was used, did you?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay. And as far as you can remember, you didn't
- 13 actually see Deputy Degner deploy the taser at the time
- 14 | it was deployed; isn't that correct?
- 15 A. I saw him move in, but I couldn't see it deployed
- 16 | though.
- 17 | Q. You don't actually even remember hearing the taser
- 18 | being deployed, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. And in fact you didn't recognize that the taser had
- 21 | been applied until probably a few seconds after that;
- 22 | isn't that correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. All right. And at the time the taser was used, you
- 25 | didn't know why they chose to use it; isn't that right?

A. Correct.

- $2 \parallel Q$. All right. In fact I think you said something about
- $3 \parallel$ that when you wrote an incident report about it as well,
- 4 | didn't you?
- $5 \parallel A$. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And just to be clear, from your personal
- 7 experience, if Deputy Degner had disagreed with an
- 8 | instruction from Sergeant Hendrickson to use the taser,
- 9 | it would have been his obligation not to use the taser;
- 10 | is that correct?
- 11 | A. Correct.
- 12 Q. All right. And that's because if one officer tells
- 13 | another officer to use force, the person who is actually
- 14 applying the force is still responsible for making their
- 15 own decisions, aren't they?
- 16 A. It's your obligation to not follow through with that
- 17 or if you see somebody, yes, officer override, that's
- 18 | correct.
- 19 0. All right. At this time I would like to introduce
- 20 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, which is a collection of some
- 21 | videos. And it's my understanding, Your Honor, we have a
- 22 stipulation that this can be admitted into evidence.
- 23 MR. JONES: That's correct. No objection.
- 24 THE COURT: All right.
- 25 MR. PARDON: Could you turn on the laptop here?

```
1
   If you would bear with me just for a second, hopefully we
 2
   can get it up. Erica, is it on?
 3
            THE CLERK: It is. Whatever you need to do with
 4
   your laptop though is --
 5
             THE COURT: Does he have to use the -- to put
   DVD, does he have to use the gadget on the back?
 6
7
            MR. PARDON: Our laptop is plugged into the
 8
   system here.
             THE CLERK: And it's displaying the image that
 9
10
   should be on your laptop as well?
11
            MR. PARDON: Yes. My apology. It's my
12
   inability to figure out which button to push. I'm sorry.
13
   BY MR. PARDON:
14
   Q. I would like to direct your attention to this video
15
   that is on the screen. Do you recognize this as a view
16
   of the hallway that's just outside of the receiving area?
17
        Yes.
   Α.
18
        Okay. All right. I'm going to start the video and
19
   I'm going to stop it at a few points and I'm going to ask
20
   you to identify some things that you may be personally
21
   familiar with, okay? So I'm going to play it.
22
         (Videotape played.)
   BY MR. PARDON:
23
24
         I'm going to start the video right here where the
25
   timestamp says May 21st, 2010, and at 6:44:04. And just
```

- 1 to be clear, are you the individual at the lower right
- 2 | hand -- lower right of the screen carrying Mr. Kingsley?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- $4 \parallel Q$. So that would be you carrying his left leg just, to
- 5 be clear?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And Deputy Blanton is located carrying
- 8 Mr. Kingsley's left arm, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. And that's Sergeant Hendrickson sort of at
- 11 Mr. Kingsley's right arm next to the blue door, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And Sergeant Shisler is located at his leg, right
- 14 | leg?
- 15 | A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. And then Deputy Degner is walking behind there; is
- 17 | that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- $19 \parallel Q$. All right. I'm just going to continue playing it
- $20 \parallel \text{for a bit.}$
- 21 (Videotape played.)
- 22 BY MR. PARDON:
- 23 Q. Okay. I have now stopped the video at 6:44:34. And
- 24 | again I just want to make sure things are clear. That's
- 25 | now you standing outside the receiving cell; isn't that

```
correct?
 1
 2
       Correct.
   Α.
 3
   Q. Okay. I'm going to continue to play it then for a
 4
   while.
 5
       (Videotape played.)
 6
  BY MR. PARDON:
7
   Q. I have now stopped the video at 6:45:14. Did you
   just hear somebody say something about a chair?
8
9
   Α.
       Yes.
10
   Q. And just so we're clear, that's you speaking now,
11
   correct?
12
   A. Correct.
13
   Q.
      Okay. Continuing on.
14
       (Videotape played.)
   BY MR. PARDON:
15
16
   Q. I have now stopped the video at 6:45:27. And again
17
   that was you making reference to possibly using the
18
   chair, correct?
19
      Correct.
   Α.
20
   Q. And a chair is a type of restraint, correct?
21
       Right.
   Α.
22
   Q. Okay. I'm going to continue playing the video now.
23
       (Videotape played.)
```

Q. Now I've played it through and I've stopped it at

24

25

BY MR. PARDON:

- $1 \parallel 6:45:41$. And at this time you were looking into the
- 2 | cell, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And did you hear somebody yell, "This is the last
- 5 | time I'm going to ask you. Are you going to relax?"
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And that was Sergeant Hendrickson, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now, do you happen to know, from your review
- 10 of this case, when the taser was -- the time that the
- 11 | taser was actually deployed?
- 12 A. The time, no. I don't recall that.
- 13 Q. All right. I'm going to continue to play it now.
- 14 (Videotape played.)
- 15 BY MR. PARDON:
- $16 \parallel Q$. All right. I have now stopped the video at 6:46:08.
- 17 And you are heard on the screen or on the video saying,
- 18 | "All right. Here's the deal," correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- $20 \parallel Q$. So at that time you knew the taser had gone off,
- 21 | correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Or been deployed I guess is a better way to describe
- 24 | it. All right. I'm going to continue playing the video
- 25 | for a short while.

```
1
         (Videotape played.)
 2
   BY MR. PARDON:
 3
         I have now played the video through 6:46:23. And I
 4
   just want to verify, did I then hear you during this
 5
   segment say, "We'll leave him in there and leave the
   cuffs on him. If he wants the cuffs off, he can go back
 6
 7
   up to the door here and we'll take them off. It's not a
   punishment. Okay." Is that roughly what you said?
 8
 9
        Yes.
10
        All right. And when you started this entire
   conversation by saying, "All right. Here's the deal,"
11
12
   you were talking to the officers in the cell, weren't
13
   you?
14
         The officers and Mr. Kingsley, yes.
15
        You were talking to the officers and Mr. Kingsley or
16
   the officers in the cell?
17
         It was to the officers in the cell, but also so
18
   Mr. Kingsley was aware what we were going to do.
19
        All right. You gave a deposition in this matter,
20
   didn't you?
21
   Α.
        Yes.
22
        All right. And may I approach the witness, Your
23
   Honor, with a copy of the deposition?
24
             THE COURT:
                        Sure.
25
```

MR. PARDON: Thanks.

BY MR. PARDON:

- And at the time you gave the deposition in this matter, you were under oath, correct?
- 4 Α. Yes.

1

2

3

- 5 And you were asked questions and your attorney was present, correct? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- All right. If you could, I would like you to turn 8 to page 132 of the deposition, in particular line 23.
- 10 All right. Actually, I'm sorry, page 132, line 18. And
- I'm going to read from the deposition and ask you if 11
- 12 that's what you were asked and that's what you said.
- 13 Beginning at page 132, line 18:
- 14 "So I've now stopped it [the video] at 6:45:17, and
- 15 there's a series of statements you made beginning at
- 16 6:46:07 in which you said, 'All right. Here's the deal.'
- 17 Did you hear that?
- 18 "ANSWER: Yes.
- 19 "Basically, who were you talking to when you started
- 20 that conversation saying, 'All right. Here's the deal'?
- 21 "ANSWER: The employees within the cell."
- 22 Those were the questions you were asked and the
- 23 answer that you gave when you were under oath, correct?
- 24 Yes. Α.
- 25 You didn't say anything about talking to

- Mr. Kingsley at that time, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.

- $3 \parallel Q$. Okay. Now, when you said you were going to leave
- $4 \parallel \text{him}$ there and he could come to the door if he wanted to
- 5 | take the handcuffs off, you were talking to the officers
- 6 | in the cell, weren't you?
- 7 A. That first part of the conversation I was talking to
- 8 the officers, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And then you also made the statement, "It's
- 10 | not a punishment, correct?
- 11 A. That would be the third part of the conversation,
- 12 | yes.
- 13 | Q. And when you said that, you were also talking to the
- 14 officers in the cell, weren't you?
- $15 \parallel A$. The main part of that statement was towards the
- 16 | camera.
- 17 Q. Okay. So just so I understand your testimony, when
- 18 you said, "All right. Here's the deal," you were talking
- 19 to the officers, perhaps Mr. Kingsley. When you said --
- 20 | well, you were talking to the officers; that's what you
- 21 testified in your deposition, right?
- 22 A. The way I see that is there's three parts to that
- 23 conversation.
- 24 | Q. The second part of the conversation when you said he
- 25 | can come up here and leave the handcuffs on, he can come

- up to the cell, you're talking to the officers?
- $2 \parallel A$. About his handcuffs, I was talking to the officers,
- 3 but also so Mr. Kingsley could hear that part, yes.
- 4 0. And then so you were saying -- and then when you
- 5 talked -- now, when you say you talked to the -- the last
- 6 statement about "It's not a punishment," you were talking
- 7 | to Mr. Kingsley or the camera? I'm sorry.
- 8 A. Correct.

- $9 \parallel Q$. All right. You weren't talking to the camera before
- 10 | then, were you?
- 11 A. The way that I see this, there's three parts to that
- 12 statement. The first part, "Here's the deal," I was
- 13 | speaking to the officers. Second part about the
- 14 | handcuffs and how he could back up to the door, that was
- 15 | to the officers but also for instruction for Mr. Kingsley
- 16 so he could hear. The last part regarding "This is not a
- 17 | punishment, " that is for the record and the camera, yes.
- 18 Q. Did you say anything else to the camera during this
- 19 | time?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. Are you sure you just weren't telling the officers,
- 22 hey, we got to deescalate the situation here; you just
- 23 | tased him and it's not supposed to be the punishment?
- $24 \parallel A$. I'm positive that was not the point.
- 25 | Q. Well, if you were speaking to the camera, did you

1 think that something had gone wrong and you had a need to 2 document something in front of the camera? 3 There's been a lot of conversations and appeals that Α. 4 I've been involved with with Mr. Kingsley. And I found 5 that after conversations I had with Mr. Kingsley, I had to write him a letter or document it because there would 6 7 be words twisted and he would ask other officers different things. So the point of that conversation or 8 9 that statement was for the camera so that it was on the 10 record. 11 MR. PARDON: Your Honor, may I approach the 12 bench? 13 THE COURT: Certainly. 14 (At side bar.) 15 MR. PARDON: Your Honor, that particular --16 THE COURT: Make sure to speak into the mike. 17 MR. PARDON: Your Honor, that particular 18 testimony about appeals and other instances I believe is 19 stuff that happened after the incident here and it's also 2.0 not supposed to be -- this is the subject of the motion 21 in limine, so I'm going to ask that it be stricken. 22 THE COURT: Any objection? 23 MR. JONES: He directly asked him why he was 24 talking to the camera and he gave an honest answer as to 25 why he was talking to the camera. If some of what he was

2.0

testifying about occurred afterwards, Mr. Pardon can ask him whether it occurred before or after. That's part of cross-examination. But he asked a question and he got an honest answer.

MR. PARDON: The specific -- the specific motion in limine was that none of this was supposed to be in.

THE COURT: I'm not sure I understood your point, Mr. Jones. When you say he --

MR. JONES: He asked him why he was speaking to the camera at that point and he gave a direct answer as to why he felt it necessary to talk to the camera.

Mr. Pardon also made the point though, he thinks what the witness is talking about is stuff that occurred after this incident.

THE COURT: I see.

MR. JONES: I'm saying, if that's true, then that can be cured by a question from Mr. Pardon.

MR. PARDON: Again, the stuff before or after the incident was not supposed to be discussed at all.

THE COURT: Well, it's true for the defendants as a group, but I don't know if this witness was told about that.

MR. JONES: As I understood the motion in limine, it's we're not going to introduce other disciplinary issues, other times you had to punish him.

All the witness said was that he has been involved in dealings with Mr. Kingsley in the past, I think he said, where he has twisted what this officer has said or what other officers have said. He didn't say, we had to punish him on other occasions. He didn't say, we've had to extract him from the cell on other occasions. So I think he's stayed within the boundaries of what Your Honor's order alluded to.

THE COURT: I will overrule that objection in this instance.

MR. PARDON: Thank you, Your Honor.

(End of side bar.)

BY MR. PARDON:

- Q. Lieutenant Conroy, you mentioned about having -dealing with Mr. Kingsley with respect to some other
 incidents. In fact you are not aware of any other
 incidents that happened with Mr. Kingsley prior to this
 incident in question, are you?
- 19 A. Not right offhand, no; not use-of-force incidents, 20 no.
 - Q. Immediately after this happened, as you were walking away from the receiving cell and walking toward the main office, you had a conversation with Sergeant Hendrickson about why he chose to use the taser, didn't you?
- 25 | A. I did.

- Q. All right. And there's no audiotape of that conversation, right?
 - A. No.

- Q. All right. When you asked Sergeant Hendrickson

 about why he used the taser, he said he had been part of

 a cell entry in the past in which he had been bitten by
- 7 Mr. Kingsley, didn't he?
- 8 A. Yes. That's what I believed at the time, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And in fact you wrote a report, an incident
- 10 | report at the time, in which you wrote that Sergeant
- 11 | Hendrickson told you he had been involved in an incident
- 12 where Mr. Kingsley had tried to bite him; isn't that
- 13 | correct?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 MR. PARDON: Your Honor -- well, excuse me.
- 16 BY MR. PARDON:
- Q. Could you turn in the binders behind you to your right and locate Plaintiff's Exhibit 5?
- 19 THE COURT: It would be in Volume 1.
- 20 MR. PARDON: Thank you.
- 21 | A. Under Tab 5?
- 22 Q. Yes. Could you identify Plaintiff's Exhibit 5?
- 23 A. That's a report that I wrote.
- 24 MR. PARDON: All right. Your Honor, I would
- 25 move to admit --

1 BY MR. PARDON: 2 And this is a report about the incident in question 3 here? 4 Α. Correct. 5 MR. PARDON: Your Honor, I would move to admit 6 Plaintiff's Exhibit 5. 7 MR. JONES: No objection. 8 THE COURT: Received. 9 MR. PARDON: Excuse me, Your Honor. Could you 10 turn on the document camera? BY MR. PARDON: 11 12 I'm going to turn your attention to the second page 13 of your incident report and I'm going to put it up on the 14 screen here and I'm going to highlight a portion of it. 15 And the highlighted portion is not part of the exhibit

itself, but I'm just highlighting it to draw your attention to it.

THE COURT: The highlighting part is not part of the exhibit. The part you highlighted is part of the report?

MR. PARDON: That's correct. Thank you. I just want people to know that the yellow stuff is not part of the original exhibit.

THE COURT: Right.

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

BY MR. PARDON:

1

2

- Q. Okay. All right. I would like to draw your attention to the second-to-the-last paragraph in the
- 4 report. And do you see that?
- $5 \parallel A$. I do.
- 6 Q. All right. And do you see that you wrote, "After 7 leaving the receiving area, Sergeant Hendrickson and I
- 8 discussed the use of the taser. Due to the confined
- 9 space, I could not exactly see how it was used and the
- 10 | reasoning"; do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. And do you see in the next several
- 13 sentences there's a line in which you wrote that
- 14 Hendrickson stated he had been involved with a cell
- 15 | entry in the past where Kingsley bit him"; do you see
- 16 | that?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. And, Sergeant, you also wrote that
- 19 Kingsley was raising his chest and at one point appeared
- 20 | to have moved toward Hendrickson in a biting manner.
- 21 | Hendrickson stated he had been involved with a cell entry
- 22 where Kingsley had bit him"; do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Those are words you received from Sergeant
- 25 | Hendrickson, correct?

- A. That's what I believed at the time, yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. You also had at least one conversation with
- 3 Mr. Kingsley about that particular statement in your
- 4 | report, didn't you?
- $5 \parallel A$. Yes.

- 6 Q. And Mr. Kingsley told you he wanted that changed in
- 7 | the report because it wasn't true that he had ever bitten
- 8 | Sergeant Hendrickson; isn't that correct?
- $9 \parallel A$. He asked me if I would change my report, yes.
- 10 | Q. In fact he made a specific written request to you
- 11 about a month later to change your report, to amend your
- 12 | report; isn't that correct?
- 13 A. I believe there was one in June and one in July.
- $14 \parallel Q$. Okay. Could you turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 60 in
- 15 | the binder? It might be in the second binder, I'm not
- 16 sure. All right. And Exhibit 60 is an Inmate General
- 17 Request Form that you responded to; isn't that correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And it was an Inmate General Request Form initiated
- 20 | by Mr. Kingsley, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 MR. PARDON: All right. Your Honor, if there's
- 23 | is no objection, I would like to move to admit
- 24 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 60.
- 25 THE COURT: Any objection?

1 MR. JONES: No objection. 2 THE COURT: Received. 3 BY MR. PARDON: 4 And in this report Mr. Kingsley requested that you 5 amend your report about him previously supposedly biting Sergeant Hendrickson, correct? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 All right. And in the report -- you responded to 9 the report or to the request and your request was that 10 you were denying the request because, as you wrote, "That report is an accurate account of the incident. Sergeant 11 12 Hendrickson did make that statement. To state otherwise 13 would be deceitful." And you signed it on June 28th; 14 isn't that correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Okay. You can set this aside. Lieutenant Conroy, 17 you've never applied a taser to anyone who has been in 18 handcuffs, have you? 19 Α. No. 2.0 MR. PARDON: All right. No further questions, 21 Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Jones. 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. JONES:

Q. Lieutenant, have you ever had someone in handcuffs

- resist your efforts to remove the handcuffs?
- 2 | A. No.

- $3 \parallel Q$. Mr. Pardon was asking you about the portion of your
- 4 | report where you indicated something that Sergeant
- 5 Hendrickson had said to you in the moments after the
- 6 | incident, yes?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And where was the conversation between the two of
- 9 | you?
- 10 A. We left the receiving area hallway and we went up
- 11 towards the main office, so it was in that hallway just
- 12 outside the main office of the jail.
- 13 | Q. And this was in the moments after everyone had
- 14 exited the receiving cell?
- 15 \parallel A. Just after exiting, yes.
- 16 \parallel Q. And can you just relate to us what the conversation
- 17 | amounted to?
- 18 A. Basically, I asked Sergeant Hendrickson, hey, why
- 19 did you use the taser; why did -- you know, why was he
- 20 | tased, that kind of thing. I couldn't see what was in
- 21 the cell. I wanted his reasoning. And at that time I
- 22 | understood him to say, you know, he was resisting and he
- 23 | made, you know, a movement towards him. He had been
- 24 | involved in a cell entry in the past where he had been
- 25 | bitten.

- Q. And are you, as you sit here today, are you certain exactly what Mr. -- Sergeant Hendrickson said?
 - A. No, I'm not certain exactly.
- Q. As you sit here today, are you certain whether he said Mr. Kingsley had tried to bite him or whether it was somebody else?
- $7 \parallel A$. I interpreted it as that, but I'm not certain, no.
- 8 Q. And you interpret. What you're telling us is you
 9 interpreted what he said as that Mr. Kingsley had bitten
 10 him in the past or tried to bite him in the past?
- 11 A. Correct.

- 12 \parallel Q. And so that's what ended up in your report, correct?
- 13 | A. Correct.
- 14 Q. At some point after May 21st, 2010, did you and 15 Sergeant Hendrickson speak again about the incident?
- 16 A. We did. A few days later I received a phone call from Sergeant Hendrickson.
- 18 Q. And can you relate to us what the substance of that 19 conversation was?
- A. At that time I was in the upper office of the jail in my office, received a phone call. And at that time he clarified that it was not Mr. Kingsley that had bitten him in that cell entry, the original one where he was involved in a CERT team entry in the past.
- $25 \parallel Q$. So he was saying it was someone else, not

- 1 | Mr. Kingsley?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 \parallel Q. And you don't know one way or the other, do you,
- 4 whether it was Mr. Kingsley or someone else?
 - A. I don't know. I wasn't there.
- $6 \parallel Q$. And do you have any reason to doubt Sergeant
- 7 | Hendrickson at that time that it was someone else, not
- 8 Mr. Kingsley?

- 9 A. I have no reason to doubt Mr. Hendrickson, now
- 10 | Lieutenant Hendrickson.
- 11 | Q. Why did you choose to not amend your report in
- 12 | response to Mr. Kingsley's request that you do so?
- 13 A. Because it was what I believed at the time I wrote
- 14 | my report. I didn't think it had major bearing on why
- 15 | the taser was deployed. It was a conversation after the
- 16 | fact. It was just a simple miscommunication.
- 17 | Q. When you say you don't think it was a major factor
- 18 | in why the taser was deployed, why do you say that?
- 19 A. Well, because of Mr. Kingsley's actions during the
- 20 | time within the receiving cell was the reason that the
- 21 | taser was deployed, not a conversation that took place
- 22 | between Sergeant Hendrickson and myself afterwards.
- 23 Q. I would like to go all the way back to the
- 24 | beginning, if I can. How long did you serve as the jail
- 25 | administrator?

- $1 \mid A$. March 7th of 2005 until I became the patrol
- 2 | lieutenant in 2011.
- $3 \parallel Q$. And was March -- when did you start with the
- 4 | department?
- $5 \parallel A$. On that day as the jail administrator.
- 6 Q. And how long had you been in law enforcement before
- 7 | you came to the Monroe County Sheriff's Department?
- 8 A. From 1995 to 2007.
- 9 Q. And in what capacities had you been employed in law
- 10 | enforcement?
- 11 A. I was a correctional officer, I was a training
- 12 | officer, I was a shift supervisor, I was a shift
- 13 commander and I was a central records office manager.
- 14 Q. What rank did you hold in May of 2010 with the
- 15 | Sheriff's Department?
- 16 A. I was lieutenant.
- 17 \parallel Q. How old are you?
- 18 A. 40.
- 19 | Q. Where did you grow up?
- 20 A. Janesville.
- 21 | Q. And what's your educational background?
- 22 A. I have an associate degree from Black Hawk Technical
- 23 College and a bachelor's degree from Upper Iowa
- 24 | University.
- 25 \parallel Q. Have you ever served in the military?

2-A-123

MR. PARDON: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

3 | BY MR. JONES:

1

- 4 Q. Are you certified by the State of Wisconsin as a
- 5 corrections officer?
- $6 \parallel A$. I am.
- $7 \parallel Q$. Can you explain what that means?
- 8 MR. PARDON: Objection.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- $10 \parallel A$. It means that I met the entry requirements to attend
- 11 the jail academy and attended the 160-hour certification
- 12 course.
- 13 Q. And who puts on the jail academy?
- $14 \parallel A$. It's put on in several different schools within the
- 15 | state. It's supervised and the training standards are
- 16 | put on -- are by the Department of Justice within the
- 17 state.
- $18 \parallel Q$. When were you first certified as a corrections
- 19 | officer?
- $20 \parallel A$. I believe it was 1995.
- 21 | Q. And have you maintained that certification ever
- 22 | since then?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Are you also certified by the State of Wisconsin as
- 25 | a law enforcement officer?

A. I am.

1

6

9

10

11

12

- Q. What's the difference between that certification and the corrections officer certification?
- 4 MR. PARDON: Objection. Your Honor, may I approach the bench?
 - THE COURT: I don't think -- no.
- 7 MR. PARDON: Okay.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
 - A. Jail certification is 160 hours long. It focuses more on administrative code and law as it relates to jails and incarceration. The police academy is 520 hours long and it focuses more on criminal procedure, arrest procedures, emergency vehicle operations, criminal law.
- Q. So if we turn then to May 21st, 2010, were you on duty that day?
- 16 | A. I was.
- 17 || Q. And what was your shift?
- 18 \parallel A. Normally it was from eight to four.
- 19 Q. And you testified that at some point you received a
- 20 | call from Sergeant Hendrickson?
- 21 A. I did, 6:13 that morning.
- 22 Q. And you were at home I believe you said?
- 23 A. I was.
- $24 \parallel Q$. And so can you tell us specifically what it was that
- 25 || Sergeant Hendrickson explained to you over the phone?

- 1 A. He stated that Mr. Kingsley was in his cell
- 2 | currently locked down on discipline for failure to remove
- 3 paper from his light within his cell. He further
- 4 | explained that Deputy Manka had talked to him the night
- 5 before and that's the reason he was on discipline. And
- 6 there was a comment made by Mr. Kingsley that he was not
- $7 \parallel$ going to cooperate and that we might as well call the
- 8 | CERT team.
- 9 Q. And that is what Sergeant Hendrickson relayed to
- 10 | you?
- 11 A. During that phone call, yes.
- 12 | Q. Go ahead. I just show you -- I would like to show
- 13 you what's been marked as Exhibit 510-A. It will show up
- 14 on your screen there. Do you recognize what Exhibit
- 15 | 510-A is?
- 16 A. I do.
- 17 || Q. What is it?
- 18 \parallel A. It's a map of a portion of the Monroe County Jail.
- $19 \parallel Q$. And is an accurate map of a portion of the jail?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. The blackened areas around what's shown, can you
- 22 explain what that is?
- 23 A. There's a portion of that that is a changeover area,
- 24 the office area, cell blocks across the hallway and then
- 25 | the back of the jail.

- Q. So there are portions of the jail that do not appear on this diagram?
- A. Correct.

2

3

- 4 MR. JONES: I move the admission of 510-A, Your 5 Honor.
 - THE COURT: Any objection to 510-A?
- 7 MR. PARDON: No objection.
- 8 THE COURT: Received.
- 9 MR. JONES: May we publish that to the jury or
- 10 we request permission rather?
- 11 THE COURT: You may.
- 12 BY MR. JONES:
- Q. I have highlighted a portion of 510-A. Can you describe the part that's highlighted; what is that?
- 15 A. The portion on the right side of the screen where 16 the cross is, that's the hallway. That's now moved. The
- 17 portion towards the top of the screen is what we consider
- 18 north block. The portion -- the lower part of the
- 19 yellowed-in area is the south block.
- 20 Q. And can you tell us, was that the cell block that
- 21 Mr. Kingsley was housed in at the start of these events?
- 22 A. The lower one, yes.
- 23 Q. South block?
- 24 A. South block, correct.
- 25 | Q. Can you identify how many cells are there in south

- 1 | block?
- 2 | A. Six.
- 3 | Q. And can you identify which cell Mr. Kingsley was
- 4 | housed in?
- 5 A. The second to the last. That's the one.
- 6 0. That's the one he was housed in?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. How many -- well, were there other inmates that were
- 9 | housed on the cell block that morning?
- 10 A. There was.
- 11 | Q. And how many inmates total did the jail hold back in
- 12 | May of 2010?
- 13 | A. Total capacity of the jail is 69.
- $14 \parallel Q$. How many jail staff were on duty for any given shift
- 15 | for the jail back in May 2010?
- 16 A. Generally we had three on second shift, three on
- 17 | third shift. And during court, or Monday through Friday,
- 18 generally we would have four on day shift.
- 19 Q. So third shift is the overnight shift?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And you would have three staff total to monitor the
- 22 | total inmate population?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And on day shift, that's first shift?
- 25 | A. Yes.

- Q. And you'd have four staff total to monitor the entire jail population?
- 3 A. Monitor the entire jail population as well as take 4 people to court.
- Q. I would like to show you now -- actually, before we move on, I've highlighted a different portion on the
- 7 diagram. Could you tell us what that is?
- 8 A. That's the main hallway that runs north and south in 9 the Monroe County Jail.
- 10 Q. Okay. And I've highlighted one last portion of the 11 jail. What is that area?
- 12 A. It's the receiving area. There's three cells and 13 two closets there.
- Q. Okay. And so that's where the receiving cells are in the jail?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. And can you tell us which one Mr. Kingsley was brought into that morning?
- 19 A. The upper left.
- $20 \parallel Q$. I will highlight that with an arrow.
- 21 || A. That's the one.
- 22 Q. Okay. So he started off where the first arrow was
- 23 | located and he ended up where the second arrow was
- 24 | located?
- 25 | A. Correct.

- 1 Q. And I believe you testified that the total distance
- 2 | between the two was something in the order of a hundred
- 3 | feet?
- $4 \parallel A$. I think it's just shy of that, yes.
- $5 \parallel Q$. I'd like to show you different Exhibit, 509-A. Do
- 6 you recognize what 509-A shows?
- $7 \parallel A$. That's a cell in the older portion of our jail.
- 8 Q. And is that a picture of one of the cells in the
- 9 | south block?
- 10 A. It could be. All of our cells in the older part of
- 11 the jail are similar, so that could be one, yes.
- 12 | Q. Okay. Does that accurately depict what a cell in
- 13 | the south block area of the jail would look like?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 MR. JONES: I move the admission of 509-A, Your
- 16 | Honor.
- 17 MR. PARDON: No objection.
- 18 THE COURT: Received.
- 19 BY MR. JONES:
- $20 \parallel Q$. I'd like to show you the second page of 509-A. I'd
- 21 | like to publish to the jury as well.
- 22 THE COURT: You may.
- 23 MR. PARDON: Wait. Go ahead.
- 24 | BY MR. JONES:
- $25 \parallel Q$. Mr. Conroy, is that a picture of the same type of

- 1 cell that was shown in the first picture on 509-A?
- $2 \parallel A$. It does. It is.
- $3 \parallel Q$. Does it accurately depict what a cell in the south
- 4 | block looks like?
- 5 A. Yes.
- $6 \parallel Q$. And it shows a bunk, toilet and a sink, correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. The light at the top of the picture, is that the
- 9 overhead light in one of those cells?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 $\|$ Q. So is that the light in Mr. Kingsley's cell that was
- 12 covered with paper that morning?
- 13 A. That would be a light similar to one, yes.
- 14 Q. I asked a bad question. It's not necessarily the
- 15 | light, but that's what the overhead light looks like?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. And are inmates permitted to cover the lights in
- 18 | those cells with anything?
- 19 A. No.
- $20 \parallel Q$. Why not?
- 21 A. Well, it's an older jail. There's always that
- 22 possibility of it being a fire hazard. But then as we go
- 23 through -- these lights are on during the day -- as we go
- 24 | through, we have to look through one set of bars and a
- $25 \parallel$ second set of bars. And if those lights are covered, we

- can't adequately see into that space, therefore it creates a safety and security hazard.
 - Q. How much light comes into that cell block?
- $4 \parallel A$. The way the cells are set up, they're linear this
- 5 way. And then there's a few windows at the end, but
- 6 there's no direct light going into those cells, natural
- 7 | light anyways.

- 8 Q. How common or uncommon is it for the inmates to
- 9 cover the light in their cell?
- 10 \parallel A. It's hard to say as a jail administrator. I -- you
- 11 | know, the staff generally deals with that. People do it
- 12 and they're told to take it down.
- 13 Q. Is that the response of jail staff to paper on the
- 14 | light?
- 15 A. Yes. They're told to take it down.
- 16 \parallel Q. Does anyone consider it an emergency that there's
- 17 paper up on the light?
- 18 A. Not necessarily, no.
- 19 Q. Is it still a situation that needs to be taken care
- 20 of?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. So what did you do once you heard from Sergeant
- 23 | Hendrickson that there was the situation with
- $24 \parallel \text{Mr.}$ Kingsley refusing to take the paper off of the light
- $25 \parallel$ in his cell?

- A. Based on the conversation, I decided to get dressed and I came down to the cell.
 - Q. About what time did you arrive?
- 4 | A. 6:30 a.m.

- Q. And what did you do once you arrived?
- 6 A. When I arrived I entered the jail office through the 7 back door and I met with Sergeant Hendrickson.
- $8 \parallel Q$. And what happened from that point?
- 9 A. We spoke and Sergeant Hendrickson told me that 10 nothing had changed regarding Mr. Kingsley's actions.
- Q. Now, there was -- you made reference in what you told us about the conversation with Sergeant Hendrickson that he had mentioned a comment that he understood that
- 14 Mr. Kingsley made about the CERT team, yes?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. From your conversation with Sergeant Hendrickson,
- 17 did you understand that that was some sort of joke?
- 18 | A. No.
- 19 MR. PARDON: Objection. This is --
- 20 THE COURT: Overruled.
- A. No, I didn't. We have -- we have to take all those comments seriously, we just don't have the luxury not to.
- 23 Q. So what happened going -- moving forward, what
- 24 | happened next?
- 25 A. I decided at that point, sometimes when I go in and

- 1 talk to them as jail administrator, sometimes people
- 2 cooperate, so I decided to go in and talk with
- 3 Mr. Kingsley.
- $4 \parallel Q$. And that's what you did?
- $5 \parallel A$. I did. At 6:34 I went in and spoke to him.
- $6 \parallel Q$. I would like to go ahead and play a portion of the
- 7 | video for you. Just bear with us for a moment. This is
- 8 one of the videos on Plaintiff's Exhibit 13. So before I
- 9 play the video for you, what are we looking at here?
- 10 A. That's inside. On the left-hand side are the cells
- 11 within south block. On the right-hand side are bars.
- 12 | And this would be the walkway where inmates walk while
- 13 | housed in that block.
- $14 \parallel Q$. Okay. So this is a view from the end of the walkway
- 15 down the length of the cell block?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. If we go back to the diagram we looked at a minute
- 18 ago in our head, is this at the left end of the cell
- 19 | block or right end of the cell block?
- $20 \parallel A$. It's at the left end. Mr. Kingsley would be in the
- 21 second cell in here.
- $22 \parallel Q$. Okay. I'm going to start playing the video again.
- 23 (Videotape played.)
- 24 | BY MR. JONES:
- 25 \parallel Q. So we see the cell doors closing there?

A. Yes.

1

- Q. Could you explain why that was occurring?
- $3 \parallel A$. Because I was entering the cell block to go in and
- 4 speak to Mr. Kingsley. He was already locked down at the
- 5 time, but I wanted everybody else in their cell so it
- 6 didn't create a hazard or distraction.
- 7 Q. When you say Mr. Kingsley was already locked in his
- 8 | cell, was his cell door already closed then at that
- 9 point?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 \parallel Q. There is no sound on this video, correct?
- 12 | A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Can you explain why that is true?
- $14 \parallel A$. There's certain cameras within the jail that we do
- 15 | not have sound associated with an actual microphone
- 16 connected to it and this is one of those cameras.
- 17 || Q. So there is no microphone here?
- 18 A. There is no microphone there.
- 19 0. The video that's recorded on this camera and the
- 20 | other cameras in the cell -- or in the jail, is that a
- 21 continuous video feed?
- 22 A. It's a continuous video feed, but it's not a
- 23 continuous recording.
- 24 Q. Can you explain what you mean?
- $25 \parallel A$. Well, our camera system in the jail is set up where

- it's based on movement. So once it hits a certain
 threshold of movement within the viewing area, then it
 will automatically trigger the camera system to record to
- Q. As you're watching the video or a video, how is that reflected in the videos, that is, when it stops recording?
- A. When it stops recording and you download the video,
 what it does is it fills in that time frame with a

 GeoVision -- I believe a GeoVision, I guess, symbol -and so the time frame is there, but there may not be any
 video on that -- just to show that there wasn't any video
 at that time.
- 16 A. I believe it's GeoVision.

the hard drive on the computer.

- Q. The videos that were part of this exhibit, how is it that they were preserved so we could look at them today?
- 19 A. I preserved them.

- 20 Q. How did you do that?
- 21 A. I physically went in and downloaded them to either 22 CD or DVD.
- 23 Q. I'm going to go ahead and start playing again.
- THE COURT: Perhaps before you do that, this would be a good time for lunch and we could -- then we

2-A-136

```
could pick up this point in an hour, at 1:15. Counsel,
 1
 2
   do you have anything you wish to take up?
 3
             MR. PARDON: I do not.
 4
             THE COURT: Maybe we should take up one thing.
 5
   Jurors, you are excused. Please leave your notepads on
   your chairs and remember not to talk about the case. If
 6
 7
   you have questions about where to go for lunch, I think
    the CSO will be able to give you some suggestions.
 8
 9
         (Jury out at 12:17 p.m.)
10
             THE COURT: Mr. Jones, did you have a chance --
    I don't think so -- to look at the handcuffs?
11
12
             MR. JONES: I did not, but I will over the lunch
13
   hour.
14
             THE COURT: Why don't we meet at 1:10 and we can
15
    take up any questions or objections we have at that time.
16
   Anything else?
17
             MR. PARDON: No, Your Honor.
18
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
19
         (Recess at 12:18 p.m. until 1:10 p.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2-A-137

1	I, CHERYL A. SEEMAN, Certified Realtime and
2	Merit Reporter, in and for the State of Wisconsin,
3	certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate record
4	of the proceedings held on the 15th day of October, 2012,
5	before the Honorable Barbara B. Crabb, of the Western
6	District of Wisconsin, in my presence and reduced to
7	writing in accordance with my stenographic notes made at
8	said time and place.
9	Dated this 2nd day of November, 2012.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Cheryl A. Seeman, RMR, CRR Federal Court Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless
24	under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying reporter.
25	